

Package ‘TH.data’

July 2, 2014

Title TH's Data Archive

Date 2014-01-15

Version 1.0-3

Description Contains data sets used in other packages I maintain.

Depends R (>= 2.10.0)

LazyData yes

License GPL-3

Author Torsten Hothorn [aut, cre]

Maintainer Torsten Hothorn <Torsten.Hothorn@R-project.org>

NeedsCompilation no

Repository CRAN

Date/Publication 2014-01-15 15:28:38

R topics documented:

birds	2
bodyfat	3
GBSG2	4
GlaucomaM	5
mammoexp	8
mn6.9	8
sphase	9
Westbc	10
wpsc	11

Index	14
--------------	-----------

birds

Habitat Suitability for Breeding Bird Communities

Description

Environmental variables and bird counts for identifying suitable bird habitats

Usage

```
data("birds")
```

Format

A data frame with 258 observations on the following 10 variables.

GST Growing stock per grid

DBH Mean diameter of the largest three trees

AOT Age of oldest tree

AFS Age of forest stand

DWC Amount of dead wood of conifers

LOG Amount of logs per grid

x_gk grid location, x coordinate

y_gk grid location, y coordinate

SG4 observed number of birds from structural gild 4: Requirement of regeneration (Phylloscopus trochilus, Aegithalos caudatus)

SG5 observed number of birds from structural gild 5: Requirement of regeneration combined with planted conifers (Phylloscopus collybita, Turdus merula, Sylvia atricapilla).

Details

Counts of breeding bird communities collected at 258 observation plots in a northern Bavarian forest district are the response variable of interest. Along with the number of birds in two structural gilds, 6 covariates are given here and one is interested in quantifying their impact on habitat suitability.

Source

Joerg Mueller (2005). Forest structures as key factor for beetle and bird communities in beech forests. PhD thesis, Munich University of Technology. <http://mediatum.ub.tum.de>

References

Thomas Kneib and Joerg Mueller and Torsten Hothorn (2008), Spatial smoothing techniques for the assessment of habitat suitability, *Environmental and Ecological Statistics*, **15**(3), 343–364.

bodyfat	<i>Prediction of Body Fat by Skinfold Thickness, Circumferences, and Bone Breadths</i>
---------	--

Description

For 71 healthy female subjects, body fat measurements and several anthropometric measurements are available for predictive modelling of body fat.

Usage

```
data("bodyfat")
```

Format

A data frame with 71 observations on the following 10 variables.

age age in years.

DEXfat body fat measured by DXA, response variable.

waistcirc waist circumference.

hipcirc hip circumference.

elbowbreadth breadth of the elbow.

kneebreadth breadth of the knee.

anthro3a sum of logarithm of three anthropometric measurements.

anthro3b sum of logarithm of three anthropometric measurements.

anthro3c sum of logarithm of three anthropometric measurements.

anthro4 sum of logarithm of three anthropometric measurements.

Details

Garcia et al. (2005) report on the development of predictive regression equations for body fat content by means of common anthropometric measurements which were obtained for 71 healthy German women. In addition, the women's body composition was measured by Dual Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry (DXA). This reference method is very accurate in measuring body fat but finds little applicability in practical environments, mainly because of high costs and the methodological efforts needed. Therefore, a simple regression equation for predicting DXA measurements of body fat is of special interest for the practitioner. Backward-elimination was applied to select important variables from the available anthropometrical measurements, and Garcia (2005) report a final linear model utilizing hip circumference, knee breadth and a compound covariate which is defined as the sum of log chin skinfold, log triceps skinfold and log subscapular skinfold.

Source

Ada L. Garcia, Karen Wagner, Torsten Hothorn, Corinna Koebnick, Hans-Joachim F. Zunft and Ulrike Trippo (2005), Improved prediction of body fat by measuring skinfold thickness, circumferences, and bone breadths. *Obesity Research*, **13**(3), 626–634.

Peter Buehlmann and Torsten Hothorn (2007), Boosting algorithms: regularization, prediction and model fitting. *Statistical Science*, **22**(4), 477–505.

Benjamin Hofner, Andreas Mayr, Nikolay Robinzonov and Matthias Schmid (2012). Model-based Boosting in R: A Hands-on Tutorial Using the R Package mboost. *Computational Statistics*.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00180-012-0382-5>

Available as vignette via: `vignette(package = "mboostDevel", "mboost_tutorial")`

Examples

```
data("bodyfat", package = "TH.data")

### final model proposed by Garcia et al. (2005)
fmod <- lm(DEXfat ~ hipcirc + anthro3a + kneebreadth, data = bodyfat)
coef(fmod)
```

GBSG2

German Breast Cancer Study Group 2

Description

A data frame containing the observations from the GBSG2 study.

Usage

```
data("GBSG2")
```

Format

This data frame contains the observations of 686 women:

horTh hormonal therapy, a factor at two levels no and yes.

age of the patients in years.

menostat menopausal status, a factor at two levels pre (premenopausal) and post (postmenopausal).

tsize tumor size (in mm).

tgrade tumor grade, a ordered factor at levels I < II < III.

pnodes number of positive nodes.

progrec progesterone receptor (in fmol).

estrec estrogen receptor (in fmol).

time recurrence free survival time (in days).

cens censoring indicator (0- censored, 1- event).

Source

<http://www.blackwellpublishers.com/rss/Volumes/A162p1.htm>

References

M. Schumacher, G. Basert, H. Bojar, K. Huebner, M. Olschewski, W. Sauerbrei, C. Schmoor, C. Beyerle, R.L.A. Neumann and H.F. Rauschecker for the German Breast Cancer Study Group (1994), Randomized 2×2 trial evaluating hormonal treatment and the duration of chemotherapy in node-positive breast cancer patients. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, **12**, 2086–2093.

W. Sauerbrei and P. Royston (1999). Building multivariable prognostic and diagnostic models: transformation of the predictors by using fractional polynomials. *Journal of the Royal Statistics Society Series A*, Volume **162**(1), 71–94.

Examples

```
data(GBSG2)

thsum <- function(x) {
  ret <- c(median(x), quantile(x, 0.25), quantile(x,0.75))
  names(ret)[1] <- "Median"
  ret
}

t(apply(GBSG2[,c("age", "tsize", "pnodes",
               "progrec", "estrec")], 2, thsum))

table(GBSG2$menostat)
table(GBSG2$tgrade)
table(GBSG2$horTh)
```

GlaucomaM

Glaucoma Database

Description

The GlaucomaM data has 196 observations in two classes. 62 variables are derived from a confocal laser scanning image of the optic nerve head, describing its morphology. Observations are from normal and glaucomatous eyes, respectively.

Usage

```
data("GlaucomaM")
```

Format

This data frame contains the following predictors describing the morphology of the optic nerve head and a membership variable:

ag area global.

at area temporal.

as area superior.

an area nasal.

ai area inferior.

eag effective area global.

eat effective area temporal.

eas effective area superior.

ean effective area nasal.

eai effective area inferior.

abrg area below reference global.

abrt area below reference temporal.

abrs area below reference superior.

abrn area below reference nasal.

abri area below reference inferior.

hic height in contour.

mhcg mean height contour global.

mhct mean height contour temporal.

mhcs mean height contour superior.

mhcn mean height contour nasal.

mhci mean height contour inferior.

phcg peak height contour.

phct peak height contour temporal.

phcs peak height contour superior.

phen peak height contour nasal.

phci peak height contour inferior.

hvc height variation contour.

vbsg volume below surface global.

vbst volume below surface temporal.

vbss volume below surface superior.

vbsn volume below surface nasal.

vbsi volume below surface inferior.

vasg volume above surface global.

vast volume above surface temporal.

vass volume above surface superior.
vasn volume above surface nasal.
vasi volume above surface inferior.
vbrg volume below reference global.
vbtr volume below reference temporal.
vbrs volume below reference superior.
vbrn volume below reference nasal.
vbri volume below reference inferior.
varg volume above reference global.
vart volume above reference temporal.
vars volume above reference superior.
varn volume above reference nasal.
vari volume above reference inferior.
mdg mean depth global.
mdt mean depth temporal.
mds mean depth superior.
mdn mean depth nasal.
mdi mean depth inferior.
tmg third moment global.
tmt third moment temporal.
tms third moment superior.
tmn third moment nasal.
tmi third moment inferior.
mr mean radius.
rnf retinal nerve fiber thickness.
mdic mean depth in contour.
emd effective mean depth.
mv mean variability.
Class a factor with levels glaucoma and normal.

Details

All variables are derived from a laser scanning image of the eye background taken by the Heidelberg Retina Tomograph. Most of the variables describe either the area or volume in certain parts of the papilla and are measured in four sectors (temporal, superior, nasal and inferior) as well as for the whole papilla (global). The global measurement is, roughly, the sum of the measurements taken in the four sector.

The observations in both groups are matched by age and sex to prevent any bias.

Source

Torsten Hothorn and Berthold Lausen (2003), Double-Bagging: Combining classifiers by bootstrap aggregation. *Pattern Recognition*, **36**(6), 1303–1309.

mammoexp

*Mammography Experience Study***Description**

Data from a questionnaire on the benefits of mammography.

Usage

```
data(mammoexp)
```

Format

A data frame with 412 observations on the following 6 variables.

ME Mammograph experience, an ordered factor with levels Never < Within a Year < Over a Year

SYMPT Agreement with the statement: ‘You do not need a mamogram unless you develop symptoms.’ A factor with levels Strongly Agree, Agree, Disagree and Strongly Disagree

PB Perceived benefit of mammography, the sum of five scaled responses, each on a four point scale. A low value is indicative of a woman with strong agreement with the benefits of mammography.

HIST Mother or Sister with a history of breast cancer; a factor with levels No and Yes.

BSE Answers to the question: ‘Has anyone taught you how to examine your own breasts?’ A factor with levels No and Yes.

DECT Answers to the question: ‘How likely is it that a mammogram could find a new case of breast cancer?’ An ordered factor with levels Not likely < Somewhat likely < Very likely.

Source

Hosmer and Lemeshow (2000). *Applied Logistic Regression*, 2nd edition. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York. Section 8.1.2, page 264.

mn6.9

*I.Q. and attitude towards science***Description**

Responses given by 2982 New Jersey high-school seniors on 4 questions concerning attitude towards science. Also recorded was whether students had a high or low I.Q.

Usage

```
data(mn6.9)
```


Format

A data frame with 2982 observations on the following 5 variables.

y1 Agree=1/disagree=0 to "The development of new ideas is the scientist's greatest source of satisfaction"

y2 Agree=1/disagree=0 to "Scientists and engineers should be eliminated form the military draft"

y3 Agree=1/disagree=0 to "The scientist will make his maximum contribution to society when he has freedom to work on problems that interest him"

y4 Agree=1/disagree=0 to "The monetary compensation of a Nobel Prize-winner in physics should be at least equal to that given to popular entertainers"

group I.Q. levels: 1=low, 2=high

Source

McCullagh, P. and Nelder, J.A. (1989, p. 239). *Generalized Linear Models*. Second Edition. Chapman & Hall/CRC.

copied from multmod package 1.0 (CRAN archive)

sphase

S-phase Fraction of Tumor Cells

Description

S-phase fraction of tumor cells in breast cancer patients.

Usage

```
data("sphase")
```

Format

This data frame contains the following columns:

SPF S-phase fraction

RFS recurrence free survival

event censoring indicator: FALSE means censored, TRUE is an event.

Details

The data have been used to address the question whether a simple cutpoint in S-phase fraction can be used to discriminate between patients with good and bad prognosis (for example in Hothorn & Lausen, 2003).

Source

J. Pfisterer, F. Kommoss, W. Sauerbrei, D. Menzel, M. Kiechle, E. Giese, M. Hilgarth & A. Pfeiderer (1995). DNA flow cytometry in node positive breast cancer: Prognostic value and correlation to morphological and clinical factors. *Analytical and Quantitative Cytology and Histology* **7**(6), 406–412.

References

Torsten Hothorn & Berthold Lausen (2003). On the Exact Distribution of Maximally Selected Rank Statistics. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis* **43**(2), 121–137.

Westbc

Breast Cancer Gene Expression

Description

Gene expressions for 7129 genes in 49 breast cancer samples and the status of lymph node involvement.

Usage

```
data("Westbc")
```

Format

An list with two elements to be converted to class ExpressionSet (see package Biobase).

Details

A full description of the data can be found in West et al. (2001) and an application of boosted linear models is given by Buehlmann (2006).

Source

Mike West, Carrie Blanchette, Holly Dressman, Erich Huang, Seiichi Ishida, Rainer Spang, Harry Zuzan, John A. Olson Jr., Jeffrey R. Marks and Joseph R. Nevins (2001), Predicting the clinical status of human breast cancer by using gene expression profiles, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **98**, 11462-11467. <http://data.cgt.duke.edu/west.php>

References

Peter Buehlmann (2006), Boosting for high-dimensional linear models. *The Annals of Statistics*, **34**(2), 559–583.

Peter Buehlmann and Torsten Hothorn (2007), Boosting algorithms: regularization, prediction and model fitting. *Statistical Science*, **22**(4), 477–505.

Examples

```
## Not run:
library("Biobase")
data("Westbc", package = "TH.data")
westbc <- new("ExpressionSet",
             phenoData = new("AnnotatedDataFrame", data = Westbc$pheno),
             assayData = assayDataNew(exprs = Westbc$assay))

## End(Not run)
```

wpbc

*Wisconsin Prognostic Breast Cancer Data***Description**

Each record represents follow-up data for one breast cancer case. These are consecutive patients seen by Dr. Wolberg since 1984, and include only those cases exhibiting invasive breast cancer and no evidence of distant metastases at the time of diagnosis.

Usage

```
data("wpbc")
```

Format

A data frame with 198 observations on the following 34 variables.

status a factor with levels N (nonrecur) and R (recur)
time recurrence time (for status == "R") or disease-free time (for status == "N").
mean_radius radius (mean of distances from center to points on the perimeter) (mean).
mean_texture texture (standard deviation of gray-scale values) (mean).
mean_perimeter perimeter (mean).
mean_area area (mean).
mean_smoothness smoothness (local variation in radius lengths) (mean).
mean_compactness compactness (mean).
mean_concavity concavity (severity of concave portions of the contour) (mean).
mean_concavepoints concave points (number of concave portions of the contour) (mean).
mean_symmetry symmetry (mean).
mean_fractaldim fractal dimension (mean).
SE_radius radius (mean of distances from center to points on the perimeter) (SE).
SE_texture texture (standard deviation of gray-scale values) (SE).
SE_perimeter perimeter (SE).

SE_area area (SE).
 SE_smoothness smoothness (local variation in radius lengths) (SE).
 SE_compactness compactness (SE).
 SE_concavity concavity (severity of concave portions of the contour) (SE).
 SE_concavepoints concave points (number of concave portions of the contour) (SE).
 SE_symmetry symmetry (SE).
 SE_fractaldim fractal dimension (SE).
 worst_radius radius (mean of distances from center to points on the perimeter) (worst).
 worst_texture texture (standard deviation of gray-scale values) (worst).
 worst_perimeter perimeter (worst).
 worst_area area (worst).
 worst_smoothness smoothness (local variation in radius lengths) (worst).
 worst_compactness compactness (worst).
 worst_concavity concavity (severity of concave portions of the contour) (worst).
 worst_concavepoints concave points (number of concave portions of the contour) (worst).
 worst_symmetry symmetry (worst).
 worst_fractaldim fractal dimension (worst).
 tsize diameter of the excised tumor in centimeters.
 pnodes number of positive axillary lymph nodes observed at time of surgery.

Details

The first 30 features are computed from a digitized image of a fine needle aspirate (FNA) of a breast mass. They describe characteristics of the cell nuclei present in the image.

There are two possible learning problems: predicting status or predicting the time to recur.

1) Predicting field 2, outcome: R = recurrent, N = non-recurrent - Dataset should first be filtered to reflect a particular endpoint; e.g., recurrences before 24 months = positive, non-recurrence beyond 24 months = negative. - 86.3 previous version of this data.

2) Predicting Time To Recur (field 3 in recurrent records) - Estimated mean error 13.9 months using Recurrence Surface Approximation.

The data are originally available from the UCI machine learning repository, see <http://www.ics.uci.edu/~mlearn/databases/breast-cancer-wisconsin/>.

Source

W. Nick Street, Olvi L. Mangasarian and William H. Wolberg (1995). An inductive learning approach to prognostic prediction. In A. Prieditis and S. Russell, editors, *Proceedings of the Twelfth International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 522–530, San Francisco, Morgan Kaufmann.

Peter Buehlmann and Torsten Hothorn (2007), Boosting algorithms: regularization, prediction and model fitting. *Statistical Science*, **22**(4), 477–505.

Examples

```
data("wdbc", package = "TH.data")

### fit logistic regression model
coef(glm(status ~ ., data = wdbc[,colnames(wdbc) != "time"],
        family = binomial()))
```

Index

*Topic **datasets**

- birds, 2
- bodyfat, 3
- GBSG2, 4
- GlaucomaM, 5
- mammoexp, 8
- mn6.9, 8
- sphase, 9
- Westbc, 10
- wpbc, 11

- birds, 2
- bodyfat, 3

- GBSG2, 4
- GlaucomaM, 5

- mammoexp, 8
- mn6.9, 8

- sphase, 9

- Westbc, 10
- wpbc, 11