# Package 'afex'

October 25, 2015

```
Type Package
Title Analysis of Factorial Experiments
Depends R (>= 3.0.0), lme4 (>= 1.0.5), reshape2, lsmeans (>= 2.17)
Suggests ascii, xtable, parallel, plyr, optimx, nloptr, knitr,
      lattice, multcomp, testthat, mlmRev, dplyr
Imports stringr, coin, Matrix, pbkrtest (>= 0.3-6), car, stats, utils,
      methods
Description Provides convenience functions for analyzing factorial experiments us-
      ing ANOVA or mixed models. aov_ez(),
      aov_car(), and aov_4() allow specification of between, within (i.e., repeated-
      measures), or mixed between-within
      (i.e., split-
      plot) ANOVAs for data in long format (i.e., one observation per row), potentially aggregating
      multiple observations per individual and cell of the design. mixed() fits mixed models us-
      ing lme4::lmer() and
      computes p-values for all fixed effects using either Kenward-
      Roger approximation for degrees of freedom (LMM
      only), parametric bootstrap (LMMs and GLMMs), or likelihood ra-
      tio tests (LMMs and GLMMs). afex uses type 3 sums
      of squares as default (imitating commercial statistical software).
URL https://github.com/singmann/afex
License GPL (>= 3)
Encoding UTF-8
VignetteBuilder knitr
Version 0.15-2
Date 2015-10-24
NeedsCompilation no
Author Henrik Singmann [aut, cre],
      Ben Bolker [aut],
      Jake Westfall [aut],
      Søren Højsgaard [ctb],
      John Fox [ctb],
```

2 afex-package

Michael A. Lawrence [ctb], Ulf Mertens [ctb], Frederik Aust [ctb]

Maintainer Henrik Singmann < singmann + a fex@gmail.com>

**Repository** CRAN

**Date/Publication** 2015-10-25 00:22:33

# **R** topics documented:

afex-package		The afex Package	
Index			43
	SK2011.2		41
	-		
	_		
	*		
	-		
	1 0		
	arex-package		. 4

# Description

Analysis of Factorial Experiments.

# **Details**

Package: afex
Type: Package
Version: 0.15-2
Date: 2015-10-24

Depends: R (>= 3.0.0), lme4 (>= 1.0.5), reshape2, lsmeans (>= 2.17)

Encoding: UTF-8

afex\_aov-methods 3

License: GPL (>=3)

URL: https://github.com/singmann/afex

Provides convenience functions for analyzing factorial experiments using ANOVA or mixed models. aov\_ez(), aov\_car(), and aov\_4() allow specification of between, within (i.e., repeated-measures), or mixed between-within (i.e., split-plot) ANOVAs for data in long format (i.e., one observation per row), potentially aggregating multiple observations per individual and cell of the design. mixed() fits mixed models using lme4::lmer() and computes p-values for all fixed effects using either Kenward-Roger approximation for degrees of freedom (LMM only), parametric bootstrap (LMMs and GLMMs), or likelihood ratio tests (LMMs and GLMMs). afex uses type 3 sums of squares as default (imitating commercial statistical software).

#### Author(s)

Henrik Singmann, Ben Bolker, Jake Westfall, with contributions from Søren Højsgaard, John Fox, Michael A. Lawrence, Ulf Mertens, Frederik Aust

afex\_aov-methods

Methods for afex\_aov objects

#### **Description**

Methods defined for objects returned from the ANOVA functions aov\_car et al. of class afex\_aov containing both the ANOVA fitted via car::Anova and base R's aov.

# Usage

```
## S3 method for class 'afex_aov'
anova(object, es = afex_options("es_aov"),
   observed = NULL, correction = afex_options("correction_aov"),
   MSE = TRUE, intercept = FALSE, p.adjust.method = NULL, ...)

## S3 method for class 'afex_aov'
print(x, ...)

## S3 method for class 'afex_aov'
summary(object, ...)

## S3 method for class 'afex_aov'
recover.data(object, ...)

## S3 method for class 'afex_aov'
lsm.basis(object, trms, xlev, grid, ...)
```

4 afex\_aov-methods

#### **Arguments**

object,x object of class afex\_aov as returned from aov\_car and related functions.

es Effect Size to be reported. The default is given by afex\_options("es\_aov").

which is initially set to "ges" (i.e., reporting generalized eta-squared, see de-

tails). Also supported is partial eta-squared ("pes") or "none".

observed character vector referring to the observed (i.e., non manipulated) variables/effects

in the design. Important for calculation of generalized eta-squared (ignored if

es is not "ges"), see details.

correction Character. Which sphericity correction of the degrees of freedom should be re-

ported for the within-subject factors. The default is given by afex\_options("correction\_aov"),

which is initially set to "GG" corresponding to the Greenhouse-Geisser correction. Possible values are "GG", "HF" (i.e., Hyunh-Feldt correction), and "none"

(i.e., no correction).

MSE logical. Should the column containing the Mean Squared Error (MSE) be dis-

played? Default is TRUE.

intercept logical. Should intercept (if present) be included in the ANOVA table? Default

is FALSE which hides the intercept.

p.adjust.method

character indicating if p-values for individual effects should be adjusted for

multiple comparisons (see p.adjust and details).

further arguments passed through, see description of return value for details.

trms, xlev, grid same as for lsm. basis.

#### Details

Exploratory ANOVA, for which no detailed hypotheses have been specified a priori, harbor a multiple comparison problem (Cramer et al., 2015). To avoid an inflation of familywise Type I error rate, results need to be corrected for multiple comparisons using p.adjust.method.p.adjust.method defaults to the method specified in the call to aov\_car in anova\_table. If no method was specified and p.adjust.method = NULL p-values are not adjusted.

# Value

anova Returns an ANOVA table of class c("anova", "data.frame"). Information such as effect size (es) or df-correction are calculated each time this method is called.

summary For ANOVAs containing within-subject factors it returns the full output of the within-subject tests: the uncorrected results, results containing Greenhousse-Geisser and Hyunh-Feldt correction, and the results of the Mauchly test of sphericity (all achieved via summary. Anova. mlm). For other ANOVAs, the anova table is simply returned.

print Prints (and invisibly returns) the ANOVA table as constructed from nice (i.e., as strings rounded nicely). Arguments in . . . are passed to nice allowing to pass arguments such as es and correction.

recover.data and 1sm. basis Provide the backbone for using 1smeans and related functions from lsmeans directly on afex\_aov objects by returning a ref.grid object. Should not be called directly but through the functionality provided by lsmeans.

afex\_options 5

#### References

Cramer, A. O. J., van Ravenzwaaij, D., Matzke, D., Steingroever, H., Wetzels, R., Grasman, R. P. P. P., ... Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2015). Hidden multiplicity in exploratory multiway ANOVA: Prevalence and remedies. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 1–8. doi:10.3758/s13423-015-0913-5

afex\_options

Set/get global afex options

# **Description**

Global afex options are used, for example, by aov\_car (et al.) and mixed. But can be changed in each functions directly using an argument (which has precedence over the global options).

# Usage

```
afex_options(...)
```

# **Arguments**

One of three: (1) nothing, then returns all options; (2) a name of an option element, then returns its' value; (3) a name-value pair which sets the corresponding option to the new value (and returns nothing).

#### Details

The following arguments are currently set:

- check.contrasts should contrasts be checked and changed to sum-to-zero contrasts? Default is TRUE.
- type type of sums-of-squares to be used for testing effects, default is 3 which reports Type 3 tests.
- method\_mixed: Method used to obtain p-values in mixed, default is "KR" (which will change to "LRT" soon). (mixed() only)
- return\_aov: Return value of the ANOVA functions (see aov\_car), default is "nice".
- es\_aov: Effect size reported for ANOVAs (see aov\_car), default is "ges" (generalized eta-squared).
- correction\_aov: Correction used for within-subjects factors with more than two levels for ANOVAs (see aov\_car or nice), default is "GG" (Greenhouse-Geisser correction). (ANOVA functions only)
- factorize: Should between subject factors be factorized (with note) before running the analysis? Default is TRUE. (ANOVA functions only)

# Value

depends on input, see above.

6 allFit

# **Examples**

```
afex_options()
afex_options("return_aov")
afex_options("return_aov", "check.contrasts") # returns only first value!
## Not run:
afex_options(return_aov = "nice")
## End(Not run)
```

allFit

Refit 1mer model using multiple optimizers

# **Description**

Attempt to re-fit a [g]lmer model with a range of optimizers. The default is to use all known optimizers for R that satisfy the requirements (do not require explicit gradients, allow box constraints), in three categories; (i) built-in (minqa::bobyqa, lme4::Nelder\_Mead), (ii) wrapped via optimx (most of optimx's optimizers that allow box constraints require an explicit gradient function to be specified; the two provided here are really base R functions that can be accessed via optimx, (iii) wrapped via nloptr.

# Usage

```
allFit(m, meth.tab = cbind(optimizer = rep(c("bobyqa", "Nelder_Mead",
   "optimx", "nloptwrap"), c(1, 1, 2, 2)), method = c("", "", "nlminb",
   "L-BFGS-B", "NLOPT_LN_NELDERMEAD", "NLOPT_LN_BOBYQA")), verbose = TRUE,
   maxfun = 1e+05, ...)
```

# **Arguments**

m	a fitted model with 1mer
meth.tab	a matrix (or data.frame) with columns - method the name of a specific optimization method to pass to the optimizer (leave blank for built-in optimizers) - optimizer the optimizer function to use
verbose	print progress messages?
maxfun	number of iterations to allow for the optimization rountine.
	further arguments passed to update.merMod such as data.

# **Details**

Needs packages **nloptr** and **optimx** to try out all optimizers. **optimx** needs to be loaded explicitly using library or require.

#### Value

a list of fitted merMod objects

#### Author(s)

Ben Bolker

#### See Also

slice, slice2D in the bbmle package

# **Examples**

```
## Not run:
require(optimx)
gm1 <- glmer(cbind(incidence, size - incidence) ~ period + (1 | herd),
data = cbpp, family = binomial)
gm_all <- allFit(gm1)
t(sapply(gm_all,fixef)) ## extract fixed effects
sapply(gm_all,logLik) ## log-likelihoods
sapply(gm_all,getME,"theta") ## theta parameters
!sapply(gm_all,inherits,"try-error") ## was fit OK?
## End(Not run)</pre>
```

aov\_car

Convenient ANOVA estimation for factorial designs

# **Description**

These functions allow convenient specification of any type of ANOVAs (i.e., purely within-subjects ANOVAs, purely between-subjects ANOVAs, and mixed between-within or split-plot ANOVAs) for data in the **long** format (i.e., one observation per row). If the data has more than one observation per individual and cell of the design (e.g., multiple responses per condition), the data will by automatically aggregated. The default settings reproduce results from commercial statistical packages such as SPSS or SAS. aov\_ez is called specifying the factors as character vectors, aov\_car is called using a formula similar to aov specifying an error strata for the within-subject factor(s), and aov\_4 is called with a **lme4**-like formula (all ANOVA functions return identical results). The returned object contains the ANOVA also fitted via base R's aov which can be passed to e.g., **lsmeans** for further analysis (e.g., follow-up tests, contrasts, plotting, etc.). These functions employ Anova (from the **car** package) to provide test of effects avoiding the somewhat unhandy format of car::Anova.

#### Usage

```
aov_ez(id, dv, data, between = NULL, within = NULL, covariate = NULL,
    observed = NULL, fun.aggregate = NULL, type = afex_options("type"),
    factorize = afex_options("factorize"),
    check.contrasts = afex_options("check.contrasts"),
```

```
return = afex_options("return_aov"),
    anova_table = list(), ..., print.formula = FALSE)

aov_car(formula, data, fun.aggregate = NULL, type = afex_options("type"),
    factorize = afex_options("factorize"),
    check.contrasts = afex_options("check.contrasts"),
    return = afex_options("return_aov"), observed = NULL,
    anova_table = list(), ...)

aov_4(formula, data, observed = NULL, fun.aggregate = NULL, type = afex_options("type"),
    factorize = afex_options("factorize"),
    check.contrasts = afex_options("check.contrasts"),
    return = afex_options("return_aov"),
    anova_table = list(), ..., print.formula = FALSE)
```

#### **Arguments**

formula

A formula specifying the ANOVA model similar to aov (for aov\_car or similar to lme4:lmer for aov\_4). Should include an error term (i.e., Error(id/...) for aov\_car or (...|id) for aov\_4). Note that the within-subject factors do not need to be outside the Error term (this contrasts with aov). See Details.

data

A data. frame containing the data. Mandatory.

fun.aggregate

The function for aggregating the data before running the ANOVA if there is more than one observation per individual and cell of the design. The default NULL issues a warning if aggregation is necessary and uses mean. Pass mean directly to avoid the warning.

type

The type of sums of squares for the ANOVA. The default is given by afex\_options("type"), which is **initially set to 3**. Passed to Anova. Possible values are "II", "III", 2, or 3.

factorize

logical. Should between subject factors be factorized (with note) before running the analysis. he default is given by afex\_options("factorize"), which is initially TRUE. If one wants to run an ANCOVA, needs to be set to FALSE (in which case centering on 0 is checked on numeric variables).

check.contrasts

logical. Should contrasts for between-subject factors be checked and (if necessary) changed to be "contr.sum". See details. The default is given by afex\_options("check.contrasts"), which is initially TRUE.

return

What should be returned? The default is given by afex\_options("return\_aov"), which is initially "afex\_aov", returning an S3 object of class afex\_aov for which various methods exist (see there and below for more details). To avoid the (potentially costly) computation via aov set return to "nice" in which case only the nice ANOVA table is returned (produced by nice, this was the previous default return value). Other values are currently still supported for backward compatibility.

observed

character vector indicating which of the variables are observed (i.e, measured) as compared to experimentally manipulated. The default effect size reported

(generalized eta-squared) requires correct specification of the obsered (in contrast to manipulated) variables. anova\_table list of further arguments passed to function producing the ANOVA table. Arguments such as es (effect size) or correction are passed to either anova. afex\_aov or nice. Note that those settings can also be changed once an object of class afex\_aov is created by invoking the anova method directly. Further arguments passed to fun. aggregate. id character vector (of length 1) indicating the subject identifier column in data. dν character vector (of length 1) indicating the column containing the **dependent** variable in data. between character vector indicating the **between**-subject(s) factor(s)/column(s) in data. Default is NULL indicating no between-subjects factors. within character vector indicating the within-subject(s)(or repeated-measures) factor(s)/column(s) in data. Default is NULL indicating no within-subjects factors. covariate character vector indicating the between-subject(s) covariate(s) (i.e., column(s)) in data. Default is NULL indicating no covariates.

#### **Details**

print.formula

**Details of ANOVA Specification:** aov\_ez will concatenate all between-subject factors using \* (i.e., producing all main effects and interactions) and all covariates by + (i.e., adding only the main effects to the existing between-subject factors). The within-subject factors do fully interact with all between-subject factors and covariates. This is essentially identical to the behavior of SPSS's glm function.

whether the formula in the call to car. aov should be printed.

aov\_ez and aov\_4 are wrapper for aov\_car. This boolean argument indicates

The formulas for aov\_car or aov\_4 must contain a single Error term specifying the ID column and potential within-subject factors (you can use mixed for running mixed-effects models with multiple error terms). Factors outside the Error term are treated as between-subject factors (the within-subject factors specified in the Error term are ignored outside the Error term; in other words, it is not necessary to specify them outside the Error term, see Examples).

Suppressing the intercept (i.e, via  $\emptyset$  + or - 1) is ignored. Specific specifications of effects (e.g., excluding terms with - or using ^) could be okay but is not tested. Using the I or poly function within the formula is not tested and not supported!

To run an ANCOVA you need to set factorize = FALSE and make sure that all variables have the correct type (i.e., factors are factors and numeric variables are numeric and centered).

Note that the default behavior is to include calculation of the effect size generalized eta-squared for which **all non-manipluated** (i.e., observed) variables need to be specified via the observed argument to obtain correct results. When changing the effect size to "pes" (partial eta-squared) or "none" via anova\_table this becomes unnecessary.

If check.contrasts = TRUE, contrasts will be set to "contr.sum" for all between-subject factors if default contrasts are not equal to "contr.sum" or attrib(factor, "contrasts") != "contr.sum". (within-subject factors are hard-coded "contr.sum".)

**Statistical Issues:** Type 3 sums of squares are default in afex. While some authors argue that so-called type 3 sums of squares are dangerous and/or problematic (most notably Venables,

2000), they are the default in many commercial statistical application such as SPSS or SAS. Furthermore, statisticians with an applied perspective recommend type 3 tests (e.g., Maxwell and Delaney, 2004). Consequently, they are the default for the ANOVA functions described here. For some more discussion on this issue see here.

Note that lower order effects (e.g., main effects) in type 3 ANOVAs are only meaningful with effects coding. That is, contrasts should be set to contr.sum to obtain meaningful results. This is imposed automatically for the functions discussed here as long as check.contrasts is TRUE (the default). I nevertheless recommend to set the contrasts globally to contr.sum via running set\_sum\_contrasts. For a discussion of the other (non-recommended) coding schemes see here.

**Follow-Up Contrasts and Post-Hoc Tests:** The S3 object returned per default can be directly passed to 1smeans::1smeans for further analysis. This allows to test any type of contrasts that might be of interest independent of whether or not this contrast involves between-subject variables, within-subject variables, or a combination thereof. The general procedure to run those contrasts is the following (see Examples for a full example):

- 1. Estimate an afex\_aov object with the function returned here. For example:  $x <- aov_car(dv \sim a*b + (id/c), d)$
- 2. Obtain a ref.grid object by running lsmeans on the afex\_aov object from step 1 using the factors involved in the contrast. For example: r <- lsmeans(x, ~a:c)
- 3. Create a list containing the desired contrasts on the reference grid object from step 2. For example:  $con1 < -list(a_x = c(-1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0), b_x = c(0, 0, -0.5, -0.5, 0, 1))$
- 4. Test the contrast on the reference grid using contrast. For example: contrast(r, con1)
- 5. To control for multiple testing p-value adjustments can be specified. For example the Bonferroni-Holm correction: contrast(r, con1, adjust = "holm")

Note that **Ismeans** allows for a variety of advanced settings and simplifiations, for example: all pairwise comparison of a single factor using one command (e.g., 1smeans(x, "a", contr = "pairwise")) or advanced control for multiple testing by passing objects to **multcomp**. A comprehensive overview of the functionality is provided in the accompanying vignettes (see here).

A caveat regarding the use of **Ismeans** concerns the assumption of sphericity for ANOVAs including within-subjects/repeated-measures factors (with more than two levels). While the ANOVA tables per default report results using the Greenhousse-Geisser correction, no such correction is available when using **Ismeans**. This may result in anti-conservative tests.

**Ismeans** is loaded/attached automatically when loading **afex** via library or require.

**Methods for** afex\_aov **Objects:** A full overview over the methods provided for afex\_aov objects is provided in the corresponding help page: afex\_aov-methods. The probably most important ones for end-users are summary and anova.

The summary method returns, for ANOVAs containing within-subject (repeated-measures) factors with more than two levels, the complete univariate analysis: Results without df-correction, the Greenhouse-Geisser corrected results, the Hyunh-Feldt corrected results, and the results of the Mauchly test for sphericity.

The anova method returns a data. frame of class "anova" containing the ANOVA table in numeric form (i.e., the one in slot anova\_table of a afex\_aov). This method has arguments such as correction and es and can be used to obtain an ANOVA table with different correction than the one initially specified.

#### Value

aov\_car, aov\_4, and aov\_ez are wrappers for Anova and aov, the return value is dependent on the return argument. Per default, an S3 object of class "afex\_aov" is returned containing the following slots:

"anova\_table" An ANOVA table of class c("anova", "data.frame").

"aov" aov object returned from aov (should not be used to evaluate significance of effects, but can be passed to 1smeans for post-hoc tests).

"Anova" object returned from Anova, an object of class "Anova.mlm" (if within-subjects factors are present) or of class c("anova", "data.frame").

"lm" the object fitted with lm and passed to Anova (i.e., an object of class "lm" or "mlm"). Also returned if return = "lm".

"data" a list containing: (1) long (the possibly aggregated data in long format used for aov), wide (the data used to fit the lm object), and idata (if within-subject factors are present, the idata argument passed to car::Anova). Also returned if return = "data".

In addition, the object has the following attributes: "dv", "id", "within", "between", and "type".

The print method for afex\_aov objects (invisibly) returns (and prints) the same as if return is "nice": a nice ANOVA table (produced by nice) with the following columns: Effect, df, MSE (mean-squared errors), F (potentially with significant symbols), ges (generalized eta-squared), p.

#### Note

Calculation of ANOVA models via aov (which is done per default) can be comparatively slow and produce comparatively large objects for ANOVAs with many within-subjects factors or levels. To avoid this calculation set the return argument to "nice". This can also be done globally via afex\_options(return\_aov = "nice"). return = "nice" also produces the default output of previous versions of afex (versions 0.13 and earlier).

The id variable and variables entered as within-subjects (i.e., repeated-measures) factors are silently converted to factors. Levels of within-subject factors are converted to valid variable names using make.names(...,unique=TRUE). Unused factor levels are silently dropped on all variables.

Contrasts attached to a factor as an attribute are probably not preserved and not supported.

The workhorse is aov\_car. aov\_4 and aov\_ez only construe and pass an appropriate formula to aov\_car. Use print.formula = TRUE to view this formula.

In contrast to aov aov\_car assumes that all factors to the right of / in the Error term are belonging together. Consequently, Error(id/(a\*b)) and Error(id/a\*b) are identical (which is not true for aov).

# Author(s)

Henrik Singmann

The design of these functions was influenced by ezANOVA from package ez.

#### References

Maxwell, S. E., & Delaney, H. D. (2004). *Designing Experiments and Analyzing Data: A Model-Comparisons Perspective*. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Venables, W.N. (2000). *Exegeses on linear models*. Paper presented to the S-Plus User's Conference, Washington DC, 8-9 October 1998, Washington, DC. Available from: http://www.stats.ox.ac.uk/pub/MASS3/Exegeses.pdf

#### See Also

Various methods for objects of class afex\_aov are available: afex\_aov-methods

nice creates the nice ANOVA tables which is by default printed. See also there for a slightly longer discussion of the available effect sizes.

mixed provides a (formula) interface for obtaining p-values for mixed-models via lme4.

# **Examples**

```
##############################
## 1: Specifying ANOVAs ##
###################################
# Example using a purely within-subjects design
# (Maxwell & Delaney, 2004, Chapter 12, Table 12.5, p. 578):
data(md_12.1)
aov_ez("id", "rt", md_12.1, within = c("angle", "noise"),
       anova_table=list(correction = "none", es = "none"))
# Default output
aov_ez("id", "rt", md_12.1, within = c("angle", "noise"))
# examples using obk.long (see ?obk.long), a long version of the OBrienKaiser dataset (car package).
# Data is a split-plot or mixed design: contains both within- and between-subjects factors.
data(obk.long, package = "afex")
# estimate mixed ANOVA on the full design:
aov_car(value ~ treatment * gender + Error(id/(phase*hour)),
        data = obk.long, observed = "gender")
aov_4(value ~ treatment * gender + (phase*hour|id),
        data = obk.long, observed = "gender")
aov_ez("id", "value", obk.long, between = c("treatment", "gender"),
        within = c("phase", "hour"), observed = "gender")
# the three calls return the same ANOVA table:
##
                            Effect
                                           df MSE
                                                               F ges p.value
               treatment 2, 10 22.81 3.94 + .20 .05 gender 1, 10 22.81 3.66 + .11 .08 treatment:gender 2, 10 22.81 2.86 .18 .10
## 1
## 2
## 3
```

```
## 4
                            phase 1.60, 15.99 5.02 16.13 *** .15
                                                                     .0003
                 treatment:phase 3.20, 15.99 5.02 4.85 * .10
## 5
                                                                       . 01
## 6
                     gender:phase 1.60, 15.99 5.02
                                                       0.28 .003
                                                                       .71
## 7
          treatment:gender:phase 3.20, 15.99 5.02
                                                        0.64 .01
                                                                       .61
## 8
                            hour 1.84, 18.41 3.39 16.69 *** .13 <.0001
## 9
                   treatment:hour 3.68, 18.41 3.39 0.09 .002
                                                                      . 98
## 10
                      gender:hour 1.84, 18.41 3.39
                                                        0.45 .004
            treatment:gender:hour 3.68, 18.41 3.39
                                                        0.62 .01
## 11
                                                                      . 64
## 12
                      phase:hour 3.60, 35.96 2.67
                                                        1.18 .02
                                                                      . 33
            treatment:phase:hour 7.19, 35.96 2.67
                                                        0.35 .009
## 13
                                                                      . 93
                                                         0.93 .01
## 14
                gender:phase:hour 3.60, 35.96 2.67
                                                                       . 45
                                                         0.74 .02
## 15 treatment:gender:phase:hour 7.19, 35.96 2.67
                                                                       . 65
# "numeric" variables are per default converted to factors (as long as factorize = TRUE):
obk.long$hour2 <- as.numeric(as.character(obk.long$hour))</pre>
# gives same results as calls before
aov_car(value ~ treatment * gender + Error(id/hour2*phase),
       data = obk.long, observed = c("gender"))
# ANCOVA: adding a covariate (necessary to set factorize = FALSE)
aov_car(value ~ treatment * gender + age + Error(id/(phase*hour)),
        data = obk.long, observed = c("gender", "age"), factorize = FALSE)
aov_4(value ~ treatment * gender + age + (phase*hour|id),
       data = obk.long, observed = c("gender", "age"), factorize = FALSE)
aov_ez("id", "value", obk.long, between = c("treatment", "gender"),
       within = c("phase", "hour"), covariate = "age",
       observed = c("gender", "age"), factorize = FALSE)
# aggregating over one within-subjects factor (phase), with warning:
aov_car(value ~ treatment * gender + Error(id/hour), data = obk.long, observed = "gender")
aov_ez("id", "value", obk.long, c("treatment", "gender"), "hour", observed = "gender")
# aggregating over both within-subjects factors (again with warning),
# only between-subjects factors:
aov_car(value ~ treatment * gender + Error(id), data = obk.long, observed = c("gender"))
aov_4(value \sim treatment * gender + (1|id), data = obk.long, observed = c("gender"))
aov_ez("id", "value", obk.long, between = c("treatment", "gender"), observed = "gender")
# only within-subject factors (ignoring between-subjects factors)
aov_car(value ~ Error(id/(phase*hour)), data = obk.long)
aov_4(value ~ (phase*hour|id), data = obk.long)
aov_ez("id", "value", obk.long, within = c("phase", "hour"))
### changing defaults of ANOVA table:
# no df-correction & partial eta-squared:
```

```
aov_car(value ~ treatment * gender + Error(id/(phase*hour)),
       data = obk.long, anova_table = list(correction = "none", es = "pes"))
# no df-correction and no MSE
aov_car(value ~ treatment * gender + Error(id/(phase*hour)),
       data = obk.long,observed = "gender",
        anova_table = list(correction = "none", MSE = FALSE))
## 2: Follow-up Analysis ##
# use data as above
data(obk.long, package = "afex")
# 1. obtain afex_aov object:
a1 <- aov_ez("id", "value", obk.long, between = c("treatment", "gender"),
       within = c("phase", "hour"), observed = "gender")
# 1b. plot data:
lsmip(a1, gender ~ hour | treatment+phase)
# 2. obtain reference grid object:
r1 <- lsmeans(a1, ~treatment +phase)
r1
# 3. create list of contrasts on the reference grid:
c1 <- list(
 A_B_pre = c(0, -1, 1, rep(0, 6)), # A versus B for pretest
 A\_B\_comb = c(0,\ 0,\ 0,\ 0,\ -0.5,\ 0.5,\ 0,\ -0.5,\ 0.5),\ \#\ A\ vs.\ B\ for\ post\ and\ follow-up\ combined
 effect_post = c(0, 0, 0, -1, 0.5, 0.5, 0, 0, 0), # control versus A&B post
 effect_fup = c(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 0.5, 0.5), # control versus A&B follow-up
 effect_comb = c(0, 0, 0, -0.5, 0.25, 0.25, -0.5, 0.25, 0.25) # control versus A&B combined
# 4. test contrasts on reference grid:
contrast(r1, c1)
# same as before, but using Bonferroni-Holm correction for multiple testing:
contrast(r1, c1, adjust = "holm")
# 2. (alternative): all pairwise comparisons of treatment:
lsmeans(a1, "treatment", contr = "pairwise")
####################################
## 3: Other examples ##
####################################
data(obk.long, package = "afex")
# replicating ?Anova using aov_car:
obk_anova <- aov_car(value ~ treatment * gender + Error(id/(phase*hour)),</pre>
       data = obk.long, type = 2)
```

compare.2.vectors 15

```
# in contrast to aov you do not need the within-subject factors outside Error()
str(obk_anova, 1, give.attr = FALSE)
## List of 6
## $ anova_table:Classes 'anova' and 'data.frame': 15 obs. of 6 variables:
## $ aov
            :List of 5
## $ Anova
              :List of 14
## $ lm
              :List of 13
## $ data
             :List of 3
## $ information:List of 5
obk_anova$Anova
## Type II Repeated Measures MANOVA Tests: Pillai test statistic
                           Df test stat approx F num Df den Df
                                                                 Pr(>F)
                                 0.970
                                           318
                                                         10 0.0000000065 ***
## (Intercept)
                            1
                                                   1
## treatment
                            2
                                 0.481
                                            5
                                                   2
                                                         10
                                                                0.03769 *
## gender
                            1
                                 0.204
                                             3
                                                  1
                                                        10
                                                                0.14097
                                            3
## treatment:gender
                            2
                                 0.364
                                                  2 10
                                                                0.10447
## phase
                            1
                               0.851
                                            26
                                                 2
                                                        9
                                                                0.00019 ***
## treatment:phase
                            2
                               0.685
                                            3 4 20
                                                                0.06674 .
## gender:phase
                               0.043
                                                        9
                                                                0.82000
                                                 4 20
## treatment:gender:phase
                            2
                                 0.311
                                            1
                                                                0.47215
                                 0.935
                                           25 4
## hour
                                                         7
                                                                0.00030 ***
                            1
                                                 8 16
## treatment:hour
                                 0.301
                                                                0.92952
                            2
                                             0
                                 0.293
                                                  4
                                                                0.60237
## gender:hour
                            1
                                             1
                                                         7
                                                      16
## treatment:gender:hour
                            2
                                 0.570
                                             1
                                                 8
                                                                0.61319
## phase:hour
                            1
                                 0.550
                                             0
                                                   8
                                                         3
                                                                0.83245
## treatment:phase:hour
                            2
                                                  16
                                                          8
                                                                0.99144
                                 0.664
                                             0
## gender:phase:hour
                            1
                                 0.695
                                             1
                                                   8
                                                          3
                                                                0.62021
## treatment:gender:phase:hour 2
                                 0.793
                                             0
                                                  16
                                                          8
                                                                0.97237
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

compare.2.vectors

Compare two vectors using various tests.

# Description

Compares two vectors x and y using t-test, Welch-test (also known as Satterthwaite), Wilcoxon-test, and a permutation test implemented in **coin**.

# Usage

```
compare.2.vectors(x, y, paired = FALSE, na.rm = FALSE,
    tests = c("parametric", "nonparametric"), coin = TRUE,
    alternative = "two.sided",
    perm.distribution = approximate(100000),
    wilcox.exact = NULL, wilcox.correct = TRUE)
```

16 compare.2.vectors

# **Arguments**

X	a (non-empty) numeric vector of data values.			
У	a (non-empty) numeric vector of data values.			
paired	a logical whether the data is paired. Default is FALSE.			
na.rm	logical. Should NA be removed? Default is FALSE.			
tests	Which tests to report, parametric or nonparamteric? The default c("parametric", "nonparametric") reports both. See details. (Arguments may be abbreviated).			
coin	logical or character. Should (permutation) tests from the <b>coin</b> package be reported? Default is TRUE corresponding to all implemented tests. FALSE calculates no tests from <b>coin</b> . A character vector may include any of the following (potentially abbreviated) implemented tests (see also Details): c("permutation", "Wilcoxon", "media")			
alternative	a character, the alternative hypothesis must be one of "two.sided" (default), "greater" or "less". You can specify just the initial letter, will be passed to all functions.			
perm.distribution				
	distribution argument to <b>coin</b> , see NullDistribution or, IndependenceTest.  Defaults to approximate(100000) indicating an approximation of the excat conditional distribution with 100.000 Monte Carlo samples. One can use "exact" for small samples and if paired = FALSE.			
wilcox.exact	exact argument to wilcox.test.			
wilcox.correct	correct argument to wilcox.test.			

# **Details**

The parametric tests (currently) only contain the t-test and Welch/Statterwaithe/Smith/unequal variance t-test implemented in t. test. The latter one is only displayed if paired = FALSE.

The nonparametric tests (currently) contain the Wilcoxon test implemented in wilcox.test (stats::Wilcoxon) and (if coin = TRUE) the following tests implemented in coin:

- a permutation test oneway\_test (the only test in this selction not using a rank transformation).
- the Wilcoxon test wilcox\_test (coin::Wilcoxon), and
- the median test median\_test.

Note that the two implementations of the Wilcoxon test probably differ. This is due to differences in the calculation of the Null distributions.

# Value

a list with up to two elements (i.e., paramteric and/or nonparamteric) each containing a data. frame with the following columns: test, test.statistic, test.value, test.df, p.

ems 17

# **Examples**

```
with(sleep, compare.2.vectors(extra[group == 1], extra[group == 2]))
# gives:
## $parametric
     test test.statistic test.value test.df
## 1
                t -1.861 18.00 0.07919
## 2 Welch
                      t
                            -1.861
                                    17.78 0.07939
##
## $nonparametric
               test test.statistic test.value test.df
##
## 1 stats::Wilcoxon W 25.500
                                                NA 0.06933
                                Z
                                                 NA 0.08154
                                     -1.751
## 2
        permutation
## 3 coin::Wilcoxon
                              Z
                                     -1.854
                                                 NA 0.06487
## 4
             median
                                Ζ
                                      -1.744
                                                 NA 0.17867
# compare with:
with(sleep, compare.2.vectors(extra[group == 1], extra[group == 2], alternative = "less"))
with(sleep, compare.2.vectors(extra[group == 1], extra[group == 2], alternative = "greater"))
# doesn't make much sense as the data is not paired, but whatever:
with(sleep, compare.2.vectors(extra[group == 1], extra[group == 2], paired = TRUE))
# from ?t.test:
compare.2.vectors(1:10,y=c(7:20, 200))
```

ems

Expected values of mean squares for factorial designs

# Description

Implements the Cornfield-Tukey algorithm for deriving the expected values of the mean squares for factorial designs.

#### **Usage**

```
ems(design, nested = NULL, random = NULL)
```

# **Arguments**

design

A formula object specifying the factors in the design (except residual error, which is always implicitly included). The left hand side of the ~ is the symbol that will be used to denote the number of replications per lowest-level factor combination (I usually use "r" or "n"). The right hand side should include all fixed and random factors separated by \*. Factor names should be single letters.

18 ems

nested A character vector, where each element is of the form "A/B", indicating that

the levels of factor B are nested under the levels of factor A.

random A character string indicating, without spaces or any separating characters,

which of the factors specified in the design are random.

# Value

The returned value is a formatted table where the rows represent the mean squares, the columns represent the variance components that comprise the various mean squares, and the entries in each cell represent the terms that are multiplied and summed to form the expectation of the mean square for that row. Each term is either the lower-case version of one of the experimental factors, which indicates the number of levels for that factor, or a "1", which means the variance component for that column is contributes to the mean square but is not multiplied by anything else.

#### Note

Names for factors or parameters should only be of length 1 as they are simply concatenated in the returned table.

# Author(s)

Jake Westfall

#### See Also

A detailed description with explanation of the example can be found elsewhere (note that the design argument of the function described at the link behaves slightly different).

Example applications of this function can be found here: http://stats.stackexchange.com/a/122662/442.

# **Examples**

```
# 2x2 mixed anova
# A varies between-subjects, B varies within-subjects
ems(r ~ A*B*S, nested="A/S", random="S")

# Clark (1973) example
# random Subjects, random Words, fixed Treatments
ems(r ~ S*W*T, nested="T/W", random="SW")

# EMSs for Clark design if Words are fixed
ems(r ~ S*W*T, nested="T/W", random="S")
```

ks2013.3

ks2013.3

Data from Klauer & Singmann (2013, Experiment 3)

# **Description**

Klauer and Singmann (2013) attempted to replicate an hypothesis of Morsanyi and Handley (2012) according to which individuals have an intuitive sense of logicality. Specifically, Morsanyi and Handley apparently provided evidence that the logical status of syllogisms (i.e., valid or invalid) affects participants liking ratings of the conclusion of syllogisms. Conclusions from valid syllogisms (e.g., Some snakes are poisonous. No poisonous animals are obbs. Some snakes are not obbs.) received higher liking ratings than conclusions from invalid syllogisms (e.g., No ice creams are vons. Some vons are hot. Some ice creams are not hot.). It is important to noted that in the experiments participants were simply shown the premises and conclusion in succession, they were not asked whether or not the conclusion follows or to generate their own conclusion. Their task was simply to judge how much they liked the "final" statement (i.e., the conclusion).

# Usage

ks2013.3

# **Format**

A data.frame with 1440 rows and 6 variables.

#### **Details**

In their Experiment 3 Klauer and Singmann (2013) tested the idea that this finding was a consequence of the materials used and not an effect intuitive logic. More specifically, they observed that in the original study by Morsanyi and Handley (2012) a specific content always appeared with the same logical status. For example, the "ice-cream" content only ever appeared as an invalid syllogism as in the example above but never in a valid syllogism. In other words, content was perfectly confounded with logical status in the original study. To test this they compared a condition in which the logical status was confounded with the content (the "fixed" condition) with a condition in which the contents were randomly assigned to a logical status across participants (the "random" condition). For example, the ice-cream content was, across participants, equally like to appear in the invalid form as given above or in the following valid form: No hot things are vons. Some vons are ice creams. Conclusion Some ice creams are not hot.

The data.frame contains the raw responses of all 60 participants (30 per condition) reported in Klauer & Singmann (2013). Each participants provided 24 responses, 12 to valid and 12 to invalid syllogisms. Furthermore, 8 syllogisms had a believable conclusion (e.g., Some ice creams are not hot.), 8 had an abstract conclusion (e.g., Some snakes are not obbs.), and 8 had an unbelievable conclusion (e.g., Some animals are not monkeys.). The number of the contents corresponds to the numbering given in Morsanyi and Handley (2012, p. 616).

20 md\_12.1

# **Source**

Klauer, K. C., & Singmann, H. (2013). Does logic feel good? Testing for intuitive detection of logicality in syllogistic reasoning. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 39(4), 1265-1273. http://doi.org/10.1037/a0030530

Morsanyi, K., & Handley, S. J. (2012). Logic feels so good-I like it! Evidence for intuitive detection of logicality in syllogistic reasoning. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 38(3), 596-616. http://doi.org/10.1037/a0026099

# **Examples**

 $md_{12.1}$ 

Data 12.1 from Maxwell & Delaney

# Description

Hypothetical Reaction Time Data for 2 x 3 Perceptual Experiment: Example data for chapter 12 of Maaxwell and Delaney (2004, Table 12.1, p. 574) in long format. Has two within subjects factors: angle and noise.

#### **Usage**

md\_12.1

#### **Format**

A data frame with 60 rows and 4 variables.

#### **Details**

Description from pp. 573:

Suppose that a perceptual psychologist studying the visual system was interested in determining the extent to which interfering visual stimuli slow the ability to recognize letters. Subjects are brought into a laboratory and seated in front of a tachistoscope. Subjects are told that they will see either the letter T or the letter I displayed on the screen. In some trials, the letter appears by itself, but in

md\_15.1 21

other trials, the target letter is embedded in a group of other letters. This variation in the display constitutes the first factor, which is referred to as noise. The noise factor has two levels? absent and present. The other factor varied by the experimenter is where in the display the target letter appears. This factor, which is called angle, has three levels. The target letter is either shown at the center of the screen (i.e.,  $0^{\circ}$  off-center, where the subject has been instructed to fixate),  $4^{\circ}$  off-center or  $8^{\circ}$  off-center (in each case, the deviation from the center varies randomly between left and right). Table 12.1 presents hypothetical data for 10 subjects. As usual, the sample size is kept small to make the calculations easier to follow. The dependent measure is reaction time (latency), measured in milliseconds (ms), required by a subject to identify the correct target letter. Notice that each subject has six scores, one for each combination of the 2 x 3 design. In an actual perceptual experiment, each of these six scores would itself be the mean score for that subject across a number of trials in the particular condition. Although "trials" could be used as a third within-subjects factor in such a situation, more typically trials are simply averaged over to obtain a more stable measure of the individual's performance in each condition.

#### **Source**

Maxwell, S. E., & Delaney, H. D. (2004). Designing experiments and analyzing data: a model-comparisons perspective. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. p. 574

# **Examples**

 $md_{15.1}$ 

Data 15.1 / 11.5 from Maxwell & Delaney

# **Description**

Hypothetical IQ Data from 12 children at 4 time points: Example data for chapter 11/15 of Maxwell and Delaney (2004, Table 15.1, p. 766) in long format. Has two one within-subjects factor: time.

#### Usage

 $md_{15.1}$ 

#### **Format**

A data.frame with 48 rows and 4 variables.

22 md\_15.1

#### **Details**

Description from pp. 534:

The data show that 12 subjects have been observed in each of 4 conditions. To make the example easier to discuss, let's suppose that the 12 subjects are children who have been observed at 30, 36, 42, and 48 months of age. In each case, the dependent variable is the child's age-normed general cognitive score on the McCarthy Scales of Children's Abilities. Although the test is normed so that the mean score is independent of age for the general population, our 12 children may come from a population in which cognitive abilities are either growing more rapidly or less rapidly than average. Indeed, this is the hypothesis our data allow us to address. In other words, although the sample means suggest that the children's cognitive abilities are growing, a significance test is needed if we want to rule out sampling error as a likely explanation for the observed differences.

To replicate the results in chapter 15 several different contrasts need to be applied, see Examples. time is time in months (centered at 0) and timecat is the same as a categorical variable.

#### Author(s)

R code for examples written by Ulf Mertens and Henrik Singmann

#### Source

Maxwell, S. E., & Delaney, H. D. (2004). Designing experiments and analyzing data: a model-comparisons perspective. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. p. 766

# **Examples**

```
### replicate results from Table 15.2 to 15.6 (Maxwell & Delaney, 2004, pp. 774)
data(md_15.1)
### ANOVA results (Table 15.2)
aov_4(ig ~ timecat + (timecat|id),data=md_15.1, anova_table=list(correction = "none"))
### Table 15.3 (random intercept only)
# we need to set the base level on the last level:
contrasts(md_15.1$timecat) <- contr.treatment(4, base = 4)</pre>
# "Type 3 Tests of Fixed Effects"
(t15.3 <- mixed(iq ~ timecat + (1|id),data=md_15.1, check.contrasts=FALSE))</pre>
# "Solution for Fixed Effects" and "Covariance Parameter Estimates"
summary(t15.3$full.model)
### make Figure 15.2
plot(NULL, NULL, ylim = c(80, 140), xlim = c(30, 48), ylab = "iq", xlab = "time")
plyr::d_ply(md_15.1, plyr::.(id), function(x) lines(as.numeric(as.character(x$timecat)), x$iq))
### Table 15.4, page 789
# random intercept plus slope
(t15.4 \leftarrow mixed(iq \sim timecat + (1+time|id), data=md_15.1, check.contrasts=FALSE))
summary(t15.4$full.model)
### Table 15.5, page 795
# set up polynomial contrasts for timecat
```

md\_16.1 23

 $md_{16.1}$ 

Data 16.1 / 10.9 from Maxwell & Delaney

# **Description**

Hypothetical Reaction Time Data for 2 x 3 Perceptual Experiment: Example data for chapter 12 of Maaxwell and Delaney (2004, Table 12.1, p. 574) in long format. Has two within subjects factors: angle and noise.

# Usage

md\_16.1

# **Format**

A data frame with 24 rows and 3 variables.

# **Details**

Description from pp. 829:

As brief background, the goal of the study here is to examine the extent to which female and male clinical psychology graduate student trainees may assign different severity ratings to clients at initial intake. Three female and 3 male graduate students are randomly selected to participate and each is randomly assigned four clients with whom to do an intake interview, after which each clinical trainee assigns a severity rating to each client, producing the data shown in Table 16.1.

Note that I changed the labeling of the id slightly, so that they are now labeled from 1 to 6. Furthermore, I changed the contrasts of sex to contr. treatment to replicate the exact results of Table 16.3 (p. 837).

#### **Source**

Maxwell, S. E., & Delaney, H. D. (2004). Designing experiments and analyzing data: a model-comparisons perspective. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. p. 574

24 md\_16.4

#### **Examples**

```
### replicate results from Table 16.3 (Maxwell & Delaney, 2004, p. 837)
data(md_16.1)

# original results need treatment contrasts:
(mixed1_orig <- mixed(severity ~ sex + (1|id), md_16.1, check.contrasts=FALSE))
summary(mixed1_orig$full.model)

# p-values stay the same with afex default contrasts (contr.sum),
# but estimates and t-values for the fixed effects parameters change.
(mixed1 <- mixed(severity ~ sex + (1|id), md_16.1))
summary(mixed1$full.model)</pre>
```

 $md_{16.4}$ 

Data 16.4 from Maxwell & Delaney

# Description

Data from a hypothetical inductive reasoning study.

# Usage

md\_16.4

# Format

A data.frame with 24 rows and 3 variables.

#### **Details**

Description from pp. 841:

Suppose an educational psychologist has developed an intervention to teach inductive reasoning skills to school children. She decides to test the efficacy of her intervention by conducting a randomized design. Three classrooms of students are randomly assigned to the treatment condition, and 3 other classrooms are assigned to the control.

Table 16.4 shows hypothetical data collected from 29 children who participated in the study assessing the effectiveness of the intervention to increase inductive reasoning skills. We want to call your attention to several aspects of the data. First, the 15 children with condition values of 0 received the control, whereas the 14 children with condition values of 1 received the treatment. Second, 4 of the children in the control condition were students in control Classroom 1, 6 of them were students in control Classroom 2, and 5 were students in control Classroom 3. Along similar lines, 3 of the children in the treatment condition were students in treatment Classroom 1, 5 were students in treatment Classroom 2, and 6 were students in treatment Classroom 3. It is essential to understand that there are a total of six classrooms here; we have coded classroom from 1 to 3 for control as well as treatment, because we will indicate to PROC MIXED that classroom is nested under treatment. Third, scores on the dependent variable appear in the rightmost column under the variable label "induct."

Note that it would make a lot more sense to change the labeling of room from 1 to 3 nested within cond to 1 to 6. However, I keep this in line with the original. The random effects term in the call to mixed is therefore a little bit uncommon.#'

#### Source

Maxwell, S. E., & Delaney, H. D. (2004). Designing experiments and analyzing data: a model-comparisons perspective. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. p. 574

# **Examples**

```
# data for next examples (Maxwell & Delaney, Table 16.4)
data(md_16.4)
str(md_16.4)

### replicate results from Table 16.6 (Maxwell & Delaney, 2004, p. 845)
# p-values (almost) hold:
(mixed2 <- mixed(induct ~ cond + (1|room:cond), md_16.4))
# (1|room:cond) is needed because room is nested within cond.</pre>
```

mixed

p-values for fixed effects of mixed-model via lme4::lmer()

# **Description**

Calculates p-values for all fixed effects in a mixed model. This is done by first fitting (with lmer) the full model and then versions thereof in which a single effect is removed and comparing the reduced model to the full model. The default is to calculate type 3 like p-values using the Kenward-Roger approximation for degrees-of-freedom (using KRmodcomp; for LMMs only). Other methods for obtaining p-values are parametric bootstrap (method = "PB") or likelihood ratio tests (method = "LRT"), both of which are available for both LMMs and GLMMs. print, summary, and anova methods for the returned object of class "mixed" are available (the last two return the same data.frame). lmer\_alt is simply a wrapper for mixed that only returns the "merMod" object and correctly uses the || notation to remove correlation among factors, but otherwise behaves like g/lmer (as for mixed, it calls glmer as soon as a family argument is present).

# Usage

```
mixed(formula, data, type = afex_options("type"),
  method = afex_options("method_mixed"), per.parameter = NULL,
  args.test = list(), test.intercept = FALSE,
  check.contrasts = afex_options("check.contrasts"), expand_re = FALSE,
  set.data.arg = TRUE, progress = TRUE, cl = NULL, return = "mixed",
  ...)

lmer_alt(formula, data, check.contrasts = FALSE, ...)
```

#### **Arguments**

formula a formula describing the full mixed-model to be fitted. As this formula is passed

to lmer, it needs at least one random term.

data frame containing the data. Should have all the variables present in fixed,

random, and dv as columns.

type type of test on which effects are based. Default is to use type 3 tests, taken from

afex\_options.

method character vector indicating which methods for obtaining p-values should be

used: "KR" corresponds to the Kenward-Roger approximation for degrees of freedom (only working with linear mixed models), "PB" calculates p-values based on parametric bootstrap, "LRT" calculates p-values via the likelihood ratio tests implemented in the anova method for merMod objects (only recommended for models with many [i.e., > 50] levels for the random factors). The default

(currently "KR") is taken from afex\_options.

per.parameter character vector specifying for which variable tests should be run for each

parameter (instead for the overall effect). Can be useful e.g., for testing ordered factors. Relatively untested so results should be compared with a second run without setting this argument. Uses grep for selecting parameters among the

fixed effects so regular expressions (regex) are possible. See Examples.

args.test list of arguments passed to the function calculating the p-values. See Details.

test.intercept logical. Whether or not the intercept should also be fitted and tested for signifi-

cance. Default is FALSE. Only relevant if type = 3.

check.contrasts

 ${\tt logical. Should \, contrasts \, be \, checked \, and \, (if \, necessary) \, changed \, to \, "contr. \, sum"?}$ 

See Details. The default ("TRUE") is taken from afex\_options.

expand\_re logical. Should random effects terms be expanded (i.e., factors transformed into

numerical variables) before fitting with (g)lmer? Allows to use "II" notation

with factors.

set.data.arg logical. Should the data argument in the slot call of the merMod object re-

turned from 1mer be set to the passed data argument? Otherwise the name will be data. Helpful if fitted objects are used afterwards (e.g., using **lsmeans**).

Default is TRUE.

progress if TRUE, shows progress with a text progress bar and other status messages during

fitting.

cl A vector identifying a cluster; used for distributing the estimation of the different

models using several cores. See examples. If ckeck.contrasts, mixed sets the current contrasts (getOption("contrasts")) at the nodes. Note this does *not* distribute calculation of p-values (e.g., when using method = "PB") across the

cluster. Use args. test for this.

return the default is to return an object of class "mixed". return = "merMod" will

skip the calculation of all submodels and p-values and simply return the full model fitted with lmer. Can be useful in combination with expand\_re = TRUE

which allows to use "II" with factors.

... further arguments (such as weights/family) passed to lmer/glmer.

#### **Details**

For an introduction to mixed-modeling for experimental designs see Barr, Levy, Scheepers, & Tily (2013; I highly recommend reading this paper if you use this function), arguments for using the Kenward-Roger approximation for obtaining p-values are given by Judd, Westfall, and Kenny (2012). Further introductions to mixed-modeling for experimental designs are given by Baayen and colleagues (Baayen, 2008; Baayen, Davidson & Bates, 2008; Baayen & Milin, 2010). Specific recommendations on which random effects structure to specify for confirmatory tests can be found in Barr and colleagues (2013) and Barr (2013), but also see Bates et al. (2015).

# p-value Calculations:

p-values are per default calculated via methods from **pbkrtest**. When method = "KR" (the default), the Kenward-Roger approximation for degrees-of-freedom is calculated using KRmodcomp, which is only applicable to linear-mixed models. The test statistic in the output is a F-value (F). method = "PB" calculates p-values using parametric bootstrap using PBmodcomp. This can be used for linear and also generalized linear mixed models (GLMM) by specifying a family argument to mixed. Note that you should specify further arguments to PBmodcomp via args.test, especially nsim (the number of simulations to form the reference distribution) or cl (for using multiple cores). For other arguments see PBmodcomp. Note that REML (argument to [g]lmer) will be set to FALSE if method is PB.

method = "LRT" calculates p-values via likelihood ratio tests implemented in the anova method for "merMod" objects. This is recommended by Barr et al. (2013; which did not test the other methods implemented here). Using likelihood ratio tests is only recommended for models with many levels for the random effects (> 50), but can be pretty helpful in case the other methods fail (due to memory and/or time limitations). The lme4 faq also recommends the other methods over likelihood ratio tests.

#### **Implementation Details:**

Type 3 tests are obtained by comparing a model in which only the tested effect is excluded with the full model (containing all effects). This corresponds to the (type 3) Wald tests given by car::Anova for "1merMod" models. The submodels in which the tested effect is excluded are obtained by manually creating a model matrix which is then fitted in "1me4". This is done to avoid R's "feature" to not allow this behavior.

Type 2 tests are truly sequential. They are obtained by comparing a model in which the tested effect and all higher oder effect (e.g., all three-way interactions for testing a two-way interaction) are excluded with a model in which only effects up to the order of the tested effect are present and all higher order effects absent. In other words, there are multiple full models, one for each order of effects. Consequently, the results for lower order effects are identical of whether or not higher order effects are part of the model or not. This latter feature is not consistent with classical ANOVA type 2 tests but a consequence of the sequential tests (and I didn't find a better way of implementing the Type 2 tests). This **does not** correspond to the (type 2) Wald test reported by car::Anova. If you want type 2 Wald tests instead of truly sequential typde 2 tests, use car::Anova with test = "F". Note that the order in which the effects are entered into the formula does not matter (in contrast to type 1 tests).

If check.contrasts = TRUE, contrasts will be set to "contr.sum" for all factors in the formula if default contrasts are not equal to "contr.sum" or attrib(factor, "contrasts") != "contr.sum". Furthermore, the current contrasts (obtained via getOption("contrasts")) will be set at the cluster nodes if cl is not NULL.

**Expand Random Effects:** The latest addition, motivated by Bates et al. (2015), is the possibility to expand the random effects structure before passing it to 1mer by setting expand\_re = TRUE. This allows to disable estimation of correlation among random effects for random effects term containing factors using the | | notation. This is achieved by first creating a model matrix for each random effects term individually, rename and append the so created columns to the data that will be fitted, replace the actual random effects term with the so created variables (concatenated with +), and then fit the model. The variables are renamed by prepending all variables with rei (where i is the number of the random effects term) and replacing ":" with "\_by\_".

lmer\_alt is simply a wrapper for mixed that is intended to behave like lmer (or glmer if a family argument is present), but also allows to use || with factors correctly (by always using expand\_re = TRUE). This means that lmer\_alt per default does not enforce a specific contrast on factors and only returns the "merMod" object without calculating any additional models or p-values (this is achieved by setting return = "merMod"). Note that it most likely differs from g/lmer in how it handles missing values so it is recommended to only pass data without missing values to it!

One negative consequence of using expand\_re = TRUE is that the data that is fitted will not be the same as the passed data.frame which can lead to problems with e.g., the predict method. Finally, note that this functionality is relatively new so please proceed with care.

#### Value

An object of class "mixed" (i.e., a list) with the following elements:

- 1. anova\_table a data.frame containing the statistics returned from KRmodcomp. The stat column in this data.frame gives the value of the test statistic, an F-value for method = "KR" and a chi-square value for the other two methods.
- 2. full.model the "lmerMod" object returned from fitting the full mixed model.
- 3. restricted.models a list of "lmerMod" objects from fitting the restricted models (i.e., each model lacks the corresponding effect)
- 4. tests a list of objects returned by the function for obtaining the p-values.

It also has the following attributes, "type" and "method".

Two similar methods exist for objects of class "mixed": print and anova. They print a nice version of the anova\_table element of the returned object (which is also invisibly returned). This methods omit some columns and nicely round the other columns. The following columns are always printed:

- 1. Effect name of effect
- 2. p. value estimated p-value for the effect

For LMMs with method="KR" the following further columns are returned (note: the Kenward-Roger correction does two separate things: (1) it computes an effective number for the denominator df; (2) it scales the statistic by a calculated amount, see also http://stackoverflow.com/a/25612960/289572):

- 1. F computed F statistic
- 2. ndf numerator degrees of freedom (number of parameters used for the effect)
- 3. ddf denominator degrees of freedom (effective residual degrees of freedom for testing the effect), computed from the Kenward-Roger correction using pbkrtest::KRmodcomp

4. F. scaling scaling of F-statistic computing from Kenward-Roger approximation.

For models with method="LRT" the following further columns are returned:

- 1. df.large degrees of freedom (i.e., estimated paramaters) for full model (i.e., model containing the corresponding effect)
- 2. df.small degrees of freedom (i.e., estimated paramaters) for restricted model (i.e., model without the corresponding effect)
- 3. chisq 2 times the difference in likelihood (obtained with logLik) between full and restricted model
- 4. df difference in degrees of freedom between full and restricted model (p-value is based on these df).

For models with method="PB" the following further column is returned:

1. stat 2 times the difference in likelihood (obtained with logLik) between full and restricted model (i.e., a chi-square value).

Note that anova can also be called with additional mixed and/or merMod objects. In this casethe full models are passed on to anova.merMod (with refit=FALSE, which differs from the default of anova.merMod) which produces the known LRT tables.

The summary method for objects of class mixed simply calls summary.merMod on the full model.

If return = "merMod", an object of class "merMod", as returned from g/lmer, is returned.

#### Note

When method = "KR", obtaining p-values is known to crash due too insufficient memory or other computational limitations (especially with complex random effects structures). In these cases, the other methods should be used. The RAM demand is a problem especially on 32 bit Windows which only supports up to 2 or 3GB RAM (see R Windows FAQ). Then it is probably a good idea to use methods "LRT" or "PB".

"mixed" will throw a message if numerical variables are not centered on 0, as main effects (of other variables then the numeric one) can be hard to interpret if numerical variables appear in interactions. See Dalal & Zickar (2012).

Formulas longer than 500 characters will most likely fail due to the use of deparse.

Please report bugs or unexpected behavior by opening a guthub issue: https://github.com/singmann/afex/issues

#### Author(s)

Henrik Singmann with contributions from Ben Bolker and Joshua Wiley.

#### References

Baayen, R. H. (2008). *Analyzing linguistic data: a practical introduction to statistics using R.* Cambridge, UK; New York: Cambridge University Press.

Baayen, R. H., Davidson, D. J., & Bates, D. M. (2008). Mixed-effects modeling with crossed random effects for subjects and items. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 59(4), 390-412. doi:10.1016/j.jml.2007.12.005

Baayen, R. H., & Milin, P. (2010). Analyzing Reaction Times. *International Journal of Psychological Research*, 3(2), 12-28.

Barr, D. J. (2013). Random effects structure for testing interactions in linear mixed-effects models. *Frontiers in Quantitative Psychology and Measurement*, 328. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00328

Barr, D. J., Levy, R., Scheepers, C., & Tily, H. J. (2013). Random effects structure for confirmatory hypothesis testing: Keep it maximal. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 68(3), 255-278. doi:10.1016/j.jml.2012.11.001

Bates, D., Kliegl, R., Vasishth, S., & Baayen, H. (2015). *Parsimonious Mixed Models*. arXiv:1506.04967 [stat]. Retrieved from http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.04967

Dalal, D. K., & Zickar, M. J. (2012). Some Common Myths About Centering Predictor Variables in Moderated Multiple Regression and Polynomial Regression. *Organizational Research Methods*, 15(3), 339-362. doi:10.1177/1094428111430540

Judd, C. M., Westfall, J., & Kenny, D. A. (2012). Treating stimuli as a random factor in social psychology: A new and comprehensive solution to a pervasive but largely ignored problem. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 103(1), 54-69. doi:10.1037/a0028347

Maxwell, S. E., & Delaney, H. D. (2004). *Designing experiments and analyzing data: a model-comparisons perspective*. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

#### See Also

aov\_ez and aov\_car for convenience functions to analyze experimental deisgns with classical ANOVA or ANCOVA wrapping Anova.

see the following for the data sets from Maxwell and Delaney (2004) used and more examples: md\_15.1, md\_16.1, and md\_16.4.

# **Examples**

```
anova(sk_m1) # returns and prints numeric ANOVA table (i.e., not-rounded)
summary(sk_m1) # lmer summary of full model
## mixed objects can be passed to 1smeans directly:
# recreates basically Figure 4 (S&K, 2011, upper panel)
# only the 4th and 6th x-axis position are flipped
lsmip(sk_m1, instruction~type+inference)
# set up reference grid for custom contrasts:
# this can be made faster via:
# lsm.options(disable.pbkrtest = TRUE)
(rg1 <- lsmeans(sk_m1, c("instruction", "type", "inference")))</pre>
# set up contrasts on reference grid:
contr_sk2 <- list(</pre>
 ded_validity_effect = c(rep(0, 4), 1, rep(0, 5), -1, 0),
 ind\_validity\_effect = c(rep(0, 5), 1, rep(0, 5), -1),
 counter_MP = c(rep(0, 4), 1, -1, rep(0, 6)),
 counter_AC = c(rep(0, 10), 1, -1)
)
# test the main double dissociation (see S&K, p. 268)
contrast(rg1, contr_sk2, adjust = "holm")
# only plausibility effect is not significant here.
## End(Not run)
## Replicating Maxwell & Delaney (2004) Examples ##
### replicate results from Table 15.4 (Maxwell & Delaney, 2004, p. 789)
data(md_15.1)
# random intercept plus slope
(t15.4a \leftarrow mixed(iq \sim timecat + (1+time|id), data=md_15.1))
# to also replicate exact parameters use treatment.contrasts and the last level as base level:
contrasts(md_15.1$timecat) <- contr.treatment(4, base = 4)</pre>
(t15.4b \leftarrow mixed(iq \sim timecat + (1+time|id), data=md_15.1, check.contrasts=FALSE))
summary(t15.4a) # gives "wrong" parameters extimates
summary(t15.4b) # identical parameters estimates
# for more examples from chapter 15 see ?md_15.1
### replicate results from Table 16.3 (Maxwell & Delaney, 2004, p. 837)
data(md_16.1)
# original results need treatment contrasts:
(mixed1\_orig <- mixed(severity \sim sex + (1|id), md_16.1, check.contrasts=FALSE))
summary(mixed1_orig$full.model)
```

```
# p-value stays the same with afex default contrasts (contr.sum),
# but estimates and t-values for the fixed effects parameters change.
(mixed1 \leftarrow mixed(severity \sim sex + (1|id), md_16.1))
summary(mixed1$full.model)
# data for next examples (Maxwell & Delaney, Table 16.4)
data(md_16.4)
str(md_16.4)
### replicate results from Table 16.6 (Maxwell & Delaney, 2004, p. 845)
# Note that (1|room:cond) is needed because room is nested within cond.
# p-value (almost) holds.
(mixed2 <- mixed(induct ~ cond + (1|room:cond), md_16.4))</pre>
# (differences are dut to the use of Kenward-Roger approximation here,
# whereas M&W's p-values are based on uncorrected df.)
# again, to obtain identical parameter and t-values, use treatment contrasts:
summary(mixed2) # not identical
# prepare new data.frame with contrasts:
md_16.4b <- within(md_16.4, cond <- C(cond, contr.treatment, base = 2))</pre>
str(md_16.4b)
# p-value stays identical:
(mixed2_orig <- mixed(induct ~ cond + (1|room:cond), md_16.4b, check.contrasts=FALSE))</pre>
summary(mixed2_orig$full.model) # replicates parameters
### replicate results from Table 16.7 (Maxwell & Delaney, 2004, p. 851)
# F-values (almost) hold, p-values (especially for skill) are off
(mixed3 <- mixed(induct ~ cond + skill + (1|room:cond), md_16.4))</pre>
# however, parameters are perfectly recovered when using the original contrasts:
mixed3_orig <- mixed(induct ~ cond + skill + (1|room:cond), md_16.4b, check.contrasts=FALSE)
summary(mixed3_orig)
### replicate results from Table 16.10 (Maxwell & Delaney, 2004, p. 862)
# for this we need to center cog:
md_16.4b$cog <- scale(md_16.4b$cog, scale=FALSE)</pre>
# F-values and p-values are relatively off:
(mixed4 <- mixed(induct ~ cond*cog + (cog|room:cond), md_16.4b))</pre>
# contrast has a relatively important influence on cog
(mixed4_orig <- mixed(induct ~ cond*cog + (cog|room:cond), md_16.4b, check.contrasts=FALSE))</pre>
# parameters are again almost perfectly recovered:
summary(mixed4_orig)
## Other Examples ##
```

```
## Not run:
# use the obk.long data (not reasonable, no random slopes)
data(obk.long)
mixed(value ~ treatment * phase + (1|id), obk.long)
# Examples for using the per.parammeter argument:
data(obk.long, package = "afex")
obk.long$hour <- ordered(obk.long$hour)</pre>
# tests only the main effect parameters of hour individually per parameter.
mixed(value ~ treatment*phase*hour +(1|id), per.parameter = "^hour$", data = obk.long)
# tests all parameters including hour individually
mixed(value \sim treatment*phase*hour +(1|id), per.parameter = "hour", data = obk.long)
# tests all parameters individually
mixed(value ~ treatment*phase*hour +(1|id), per.parameter = ".", data = obk.long)
# example data from package languageR:
# Lexical decision latencies elicited from 21 subjects for 79 English concrete nouns,
# with variables linked to subject or word.
data(lexdec, package = "languageR")
# using the simplest model
m1 <- mixed(RT ~ Correct + Trial + PrevType * meanWeight +
   Frequency + NativeLanguage * Length + (1|Subject) + (1|Word), data = lexdec)
m1
                                      ddf F.scaling p.value
##
                  Effect stat ndf
## 1
                 Correct 8.15 1 1627.73 1.00
## 2
                   Trial 7.57 1 1592.43
                                               1.00
                                                     .006
                PrevType 0.17 1 1605.39
                                              1.00
## 3
                                                       . 68
## 4
              meanWeight 14.85 1 75.39
                                              1.00 .0002
## 5
               Frequency 56.53 1 76.08
                                               1.00 <.0001
                                1
## 6
           NativeLanguage 0.70
                                    27.11
                                               1.00
                                                      . 41
                                1 75.83
## 7
                  Length 8.70
                                               1.00
                                                       .004
      PrevType:meanWeight 6.18
                                1 1601.18
                                               1.00
                                                       . 01
                                               1.00
## 9 NativeLanguage:Length 14.24
                                1 1555.49
                                                      .0002
# Fitting a GLMM using parametric bootstrap:
require("mlmRev") # for the data, see ?Contraception
gm1 <- mixed(use ~ age + I(age^2) + urban + livch + (1 | district), method = "PB",
family = binomial, data = Contraception, args.test = list(nsim = 10))
### Using Multicore ###
```

require(parallel)

34 nice

```
(nc <- detectCores()) # number of cores
cl <- makeCluster(rep("localhost", nc)) # make cluster
# to keep track of what the function is doindg redirect output to outfile:
# cl <- makeCluster(rep("localhost", nc), outfile = "cl.log.txt")

## There are two ways to use multicore:
# 1. Obtain fits with multicore:
mixed(value ~ treatment*phase*hour +(1|id), data = obk.long, method = "LRT", cl = cl)
# 2. Obtain PB samples via multicore:
mixed(use ~ age + I(age^2) + urban + livch + (1 | district), family = binomial,
method = "PB", data = Contraception, args.test = list(nsim = 10, cl = cl))

## Both ways can be combined:
mixed(use ~ age + I(age^2) + urban + livch + (1 | district), family = binomial,
method = "PB", data = Contraception, args.test = list(nsim = 10, cl = cl), cl = cl)
stopCluster(cl)

## End(Not run)</pre>
```

nice

Make nice ANOVA table for printing.

# **Description**

This generic function produces a nice ANOVA table for printin for objects of class. nice\_anova takes an object from Anova possible created by the convenience functions aov\_ez or aov\_car. When within-subject factors are present, either sphericity corrected or uncorrected degrees of freedom can be reported.

#### Usage

nice 35

# **Arguments**

object An object of class "Anova.mlm" or "anova" as returned from Anova or the afex

ANOVA functions (see aov\_car).

... currently ignored.

es Effect Size to be reported. The default is given by afex\_options("es\_aov"),

which is initially set to "ges" (i.e., reporting generalized eta-squared, see de-

tails). Also supported is partial eta-squared ("pes") or "none".

observed character vector referring to the observed (i.e., non manipulated) variables/effects

in the design. Important for calculation of generalized eta-squared (ignored if

es is not "ges"), see details.

correction Character. Which sphericity correction of the degrees of freedom should be re-

ported for the within-subject factors. The default is given by afex\_options("correction\_aov"),

which is initially set to "GG" corresponding to the Greenhouse-Geisser correction. Possible values are "GG", "HF" (i.e., Hyunh-Feldt correction), and "none"

(i.e., no correction).

MSE logical. Should the column containing the Mean Squared Error (MSE) be dis-

played? Default is TRUE.

intercept logical. Should intercept (if present) be included in the ANOVA table? Default

is FALSE which hides the intercept.

sig.symbols Character. What should be the symbols designating significance? When enter-

ing an vector with length(sig.symbol) < 4 only those elements of the default (c(" +", " \*", " \*\*\*", " \*\*\*")) will be replaced. sig.symbols = "" will display the stars but not the +, sig.symbols = rep("", 4) will display no

symbols.

p.adjust.method

character indicating if p-values for individual effects should be adjusted for multiple comparisons (see p.adjust and details). The default NULL corresponds

to no adjustment.

# Details

The returned data.frame is print-ready when adding to a document with proper methods. Either directly via **knitr** or similar approaches such as via packages **ascii** or **xtable** (nowadays **knitr** is probably the best approach, see **here**). **ascii** provides conversion to **AsciiDoc** and **org-mode** (see ascii and print-ascii). **xtable** converts a data.frame into LaTeX code with many possible options (e.g., allowing for "longtable" or "sidewaystable"), see xtable and print.xtable. See Examples.

Conversion functions to other formats (such as HTML, ODF, or Word) can be found at the Reproducible Research Task View.

The default reports generalized eta squared (Olejnik & Algina, 2003), the "recommended effect size for repeated measured designs" (Bakeman, 2005). Note that it is important that all measured variables (as opposed to experimentally manipulated variables), such as e.g., age, gender, weight, ..., must be declared via observed to obtain the correct effect size estimate. Partial eta squared ("pes") does not require this.

36 nice

Exploratory ANOVA, for which no detailed hypotheses have been specified a priori, harbor a multiple comparison problem (Cramer et al., 2015). To avoid an inflation of familywise Type I error rate, results need to be corrected for multiple comparisons using p.adjust.method. p.adjust.method defaults to the method specified in the call to aov\_car in anova\_table. If no method was specified and p.adjust.method = NULL p-values are not adjusted.

#### Value

A data. frame with the ANOVA table consisting of characters. The columns that are always present are: Effect, df (degrees of freedom), F, and p.

ges contains the generalized eta-squared effect size measure (Bakeman, 2005), pes contains partial eta-squared (if requested).

#### Author(s)

The code for calculating generalized eta-squared was written by Mike Lawrence. Everything else was written by Henrik Singmann.

#### References

Bakeman, R. (2005). Recommended effect size statistics for repeated measures designs. *Behavior Research Methods*, 37(3), 379-384. doi:10.3758/BF03192707

Cramer, A. O. J., van Ravenzwaaij, D., Matzke, D., Steingroever, H., Wetzels, R., Grasman, R. P. P. P., ... Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2015). Hidden multiplicity in exploratory multiway ANOVA: Prevalence and remedies. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 1–8. doi:10.3758/s13423-015-0913-5

Olejnik, S., & Algina, J. (2003). Generalized Eta and Omega Squared Statistics: Measures of Effect Size for Some Common Research Designs. *Psychological Methods*, 8(4), 434-447. doi:10.1037/1082-989X.8.4.434

# See Also

aov\_ez and aov\_car are the convenience functions to create the object appropriate for nice\_anova.

# **Examples**

```
## example from Olejnik & Algina (2003)
# "Repeated Measures Design" (pp. 439):
data(md_12.1)
# create object of class afex_aov:
rmd <- aov_ez("id", "rt", md_12.1, within = c("angle", "noise"))
# use different es:
nice(rmd, es = "pes") # noise: .82
nice(rmd, es = "ges") # noise: .39
# exampel using obk.long (see ?obk.long), a long version of the OBrienKaiser dataset from car.
data(obk.long)
# create object of class afex_aov:
tmp.aov <- aov_car(value ~ treatment * gender + Error(id/phase*hour), data = obk.long)
nice(tmp.aov, observed = "gender")</pre>
```

obk.long 37

```
nice(tmp.aov, observed = "gender", sig.symbol = rep("", 4))

## Not run:
# use package ascii or xtable for formatting of tables ready for printing.

full <- nice(tmp.aov, observed = "gender")

require(ascii)
print(ascii(full, include.rownames = FALSE, caption = "ANOVA 1"), type = "org")

require(xtable)
print.xtable(xtable(full, caption = "ANOVA 2"), include.rownames = FALSE)

## End(Not run)</pre>
```

obk.long

O'Brien Kaiser's Repeated-Measures Dataset with Covariate

# **Description**

This is the long version of the OBrienKaiser dataset from the **car** pakage adding a random covariate age. Originally the dataset ist taken from O'Brien and Kaiser (1985). The description from OBrienKaiser says: "These contrived repeated-measures data are taken from O'Brien and Kaiser (1985). The data are from an imaginary study in which 16 female and male subjects, who are divided into three treatments, are measured at a pretest, postest, and a follow-up session; during each session, they are measured at five occasions at intervals of one hour. The design, therefore, has two between-subject and two within-subject factors."

# Usage

obk.long

#### **Format**

A data frame with 240 rows and 7 variables.

#### Source

O'Brien, R. G., & Kaiser, M. K. (1985). MANOVA method for analyzing repeated measures designs: An extensive primer. *Psychological Bulletin*, 97, 316-333. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.97.2.316

# **Examples**

```
# The dataset is constructed as follows:
data("OBrienKaiser", package = "car")
set.seed(1)
OBrienKaiser2 <- within(OBrienKaiser, {
id <- factor(1:nrow(OBrienKaiser))</pre>
```

38 round\_ps

```
age <- scale(sample(18:35, nrow(OBrienKaiser), replace = TRUE), scale = FALSE)})</pre>
attributes(OBrienKaiser2$age) <- NULL # needed or resahpe2::melt throws an error.
OBrienKaiser2$age <- as.numeric(OBrienKaiser2$age)</pre>
obk.long <- reshape2::melt(OBrienKaiser2, id.vars = c("id", "treatment", "gender", "age"))
obk.long[,c("phase", "hour")] <- lapply(as.data.frame(do.call(rbind,</pre>
       strsplit(as.character(obk.long$variable), "\\."),)), factor)
obk.long <- obk.long[,c("id", "treatment", "gender", "age", "phase", "hour", "value")]
obk.long <- obk.long[order(obk.long$id),]</pre>
rownames(obk.long) <- NULL</pre>
str(obk.long)
## 'data.frame':
                  240 obs. of 7 variables:
          : Factor w/ 16 levels "1","2","3","4",..: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
## $ id
## $ treatment: Factor w/ 3 levels "control", "A",...: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
## $ gender : Factor w/ 2 levels "F", "M": 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 ...
              : num -4.75 -4.75 -4.75 -4.75 -4.75 -4.75 -4.75 -4.75 -4.75 ...
## $ age
## $ phase
              : Factor w/ 3 levels "fup", "post", "pre": 3 3 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 ...
              : Factor w/ 5 levels "1","2","3","4",..: 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 3 4 5 ...
## $ hour
## $ value
              : num 1 2 4 2 1 3 2 5 3 2 ...
head(obk.long)
     id treatment gender age phase hour value
                     M -4.75
         control
                               pre
## 2 1
         control
                      M -4.75
                                       2
                                             2
                               pre
## 3 1
                     M -4.75
                               pre
                                             4
         control
                                       3
                      M -4.75
## 4 1
                                             2
         control
                                pre
                                       4
## 5 1
                                       5
                      M - 4.75
                                             1
         control
                                pre
## 6 1
                      M -4.75 post
                                             3
         control
                                       1
```

round\_ps

Helper function which rounds p-values

# **Description**

p-values are rounded in a sane way: .99 - .01 to two digits, < .01 to three digits, < .001 to four digits.

#### **Usage**

round\_ps(x)

#### **Arguments**

x a numeric vector

#### Value

A character vector with the same length of x.

#### Author(s)

Henrik Singmann

set\_sum\_contrasts 39

# **Examples**

```
round_ps(runif(10))
round_ps(runif(10, 0, .01))
round_ps(runif(10, 0, .001))
round_ps(0.0000000099)
```

set\_sum\_contrasts

Set global contrasts

# Description

These functions are simple wrappers to set contrasts globally via options(contrasts = ...).

# Usage

```
set_sum_contrasts()
set_deviation_contrasts()
set_effects_contrasts()
set_default_contrasts()
set_treatment_contrasts()
```

# **Details**

 $\verb|set_deviation_contrasts| and \verb|set_effects_contrasts| are wrappers for \verb|set_sum_contrasts|. \\ Likewise, \verb|set_default_contrasts| is a wrapper to \verb|set_treatment_contrasts||).$ 

# Value

nothing. These functions are called for their side effects to change the global options.

40 sk2011.1

sk2011.1

Data from Singmann & Klauer (2011, Experiment 1)

# **Description**

Singmann and Klauer (2011) were interested in whether or not conditional reasoning can be explained by a single process or whether multiple processes are necessary to explain it. To provide evidence for multiple processes we aimed to establish a double dissociation of two variables: instruction type and problem type. Instruction type was manipulated between-subjects, one group of participants received deductive instructions (i.e., to treat the premises as given and only draw necessary conclusions) and a second group of participants received probabilistic instructions (i.e., to reason as in an everyday situation; we called this "inductive instruction" in the manuscript). Problem type consisted of two different orthogonally crossed variables that were manipulated within-subjects, validity of the problem (formally valid or formally invalid) and plausibility of the problem (inferences which were consisted with the background knowledge versus problems that were inconsistent with the background knowledge). The critical comparison across the two conditions was among problems which were valid and implausible with problems that were invalid and plausible. For example, the next problem was invalid and plausible:

#### Usage

sk2011.1

#### **Format**

A data.frame with 640 rows and 9 variables.

# Details

If a person is wet, then the person fell into a swimming pool.

A person fell into a swimming pool.

How valid is the conclusion/How likely is it that the person is wet?

For those problems we predicted that under deductive instructions responses should be lower (as the conclusion does not necessarily follow from the premises) as under probabilistic instructions. For the valid but implausible problem, an example is presented next, we predicted the opposite pattern:

If a person is wet, then the person fell into a swimming pool.

A person is wet.

How valid is the conclusion/How likely is it that the person fell into a swimming pool?

Our study also included valid and plausible and invalid and implausible problems.

Note that the factor 'plausibility' is not present in the original manuscript, there it is a results of a combination of other factors.

#### Source

Singmann, H., & Klauer, K. C. (2011). Deductive and inductive conditional inferences: Two modes of reasoning. Thinking & Reasoning, 17(3), 247-281. doi:10.1080/13546783.2011.572718

sk2011.2

# **Examples**

```
data(sk2011.1)
# Table 1 (p. 264):
aov_ez("id", "response", sk2011.1[ sk2011.1$what == "affirmation",],
    within = c("inference", "type"), between = "instruction",
    args.return=(es = "pes"))
aov_ez("id", "response", sk2011.1[ sk2011.1$what == "denial",],
    within = c("inference", "type"), between = "instruction",
    args.return=(es = "pes"))
```

sk2011.2

Data from Singmann & Klauer (2011, Experiment 2)

# **Description**

Singmann and Klauer (2011) were interested in whether or not conditional reasoning can be explained by a single process or whether multiple processes are necessary to explain it. To provide evidence for multiple processes we aimed to establish a double dissociation of two variables: instruction type and problem type. Instruction type was manipulated between-subjects, one group of participants received deductive instructions (i.e., to treat the premises as given and only draw necessary conclusions) and a second group of participants received probabilistic instructions (i.e., to reason as in an everyday situation; we called this "inductive instruction" in the manuscript). Problem type consisted of two different orthogonally crossed variables that were manipulated within-subjects, validity of the problem (formally valid or formally invalid) and type of the problem. Problem type consistent of three levels: prological problems (i.e., problems in which background knowledge suggested to reject all problems), and counterlogical problems (i.e., problems in which background knowledge suggested to reject valid but accept invalid conclusions).

# Usage

sk2011.2

#### **Format**

A data.frame with 2268 rows and 9 variables.

#### **Details**

This data set contains 63 participants in contrast to the originally reported 56 participants. The additional participants were not included in the original studies as they did not meet the inclusion criteria (i.e., no students, prior education in logic, or participated in a similar experiment). The IDs of those additional participants are: 7, 8, 9, 12, 17, 24, 30. The excluded participant reported in the paper has ID 16.

content has the following levels (C = content/conditional):

1 = Wenn eine Person in ein Schwimmbecken gefallen ist, dann ist sie nass.

42 sk2011.2

- 2 = Wenn ein Hund Flöhe hat, dann kratzt er sich hin und wieder.
- 3 = Wenn eine Seifenblase mit einer Nadel gestochen wurde, dann platzt sie.
- 4 = Wenn ein Mädchen Geschlechtsverkehr vollzogen hat, dann ist es schwanger.
- 5 = Wenn eine Pflanze ausreichend gegossen wird, dann bleibt sie grün.
- 6 = Wenn sich eine Person die Zähne putzt, dann bekommt sie KEIN Karies.
- 7 = Wenn eine Person viel Cola trinkt, dann nimmt sie an Gewicht zu.
- 8 = Wenn eine Person die Klimaanlage angeschaltet hat, dann fröstelt sie.
- 9 = Wenn eine Person viel lernt, dann wird sie in der Klausur eine gute Note erhalten.

# **Source**

Singmann, H., & Klauer, K. C. (2011). Deductive and inductive conditional inferences: Two modes of reasoning. Thinking & Reasoning, 17(3), 247-281. doi:10.1080/13546783.2011.572718

# **Examples**

# **Index**

*Topic <b>dataset</b> ks2013.3, 19	KRmodcomp, 25, 27, 28 ks2013.3, 19
md_12.1, 20	,
md_15.1, 21	lmer, 25, 26
md_16.1, 23	<pre>lmer_alt (mixed), 25</pre>
md_16.4, 24	lsm.basis,4
obk.long, 37	<pre>lsm.basis.afex_aov(afex_aov-methods), 3</pre>
sk2011.1,40	lsmeans, <i>4</i> , <i>10</i>
sk2011.2,41	
*Topic package	make.names, 11
afex-package, 2	md_12.1, 20
	md_15.1, 21, <i>30</i>
afex-package, 2	md_16.1, 23, <i>30</i>
afex_aov-methods, 3	md_16.4, 24, <i>30</i>
afex_options, 5, 26	mean, 8
allFit, 6	methods, 8
Anova, 7, 8, 11, 30, 34, 35	mixed, 5, 9, 12, 25
<pre>anova.afex_aov (afex_aov-methods), 3</pre>	
aov, 7, 8, 11	nice, 4, 5, 8, 11, 12, 34
aov_4 (aov_car), 7	NullDistribution, <i>16</i>
aov_car, 3-5, 7, 30, 34-36	
aov_ez, 30, 34, 36	obk.long, 37
aov_ez (aov_car), 7	OBrienKaiser, 37
ascii, <i>35</i>	oneway_test, 16
compare.2.vectors, 15	p.adjust, 4, 35
contr.sum, 10	PBmodcomp, 27
contrast, 10	poly, 9
	print, <i>11</i>
deparse, 29	<pre>print.afex_aov (afex_aov-methods), 3</pre>
	print.xtable, 35
ems, 17	principald, 55
ezANOVA, 11	recover.data.afex_aov
family 27	(afex_aov-methods), 3
family, 27	ref.grid, 4, 10
glmer, 26	regex, 26
grep, 26	round_ps, 38
8. CP, 20	-r - /
I, 9	set_default_contrasts
IndependenceTest, <i>16</i>	(set_sum_contrasts), 39

INDEX INDEX

```
{\sf set\_deviation\_contrasts}
         (set_sum_contrasts), 39
{\tt set\_effects\_contrasts}
          (set_sum_contrasts), 39
set_sum_contrasts, 10, 39
{\tt set\_treatment\_contrasts}
         (set_sum_contrasts), 39
sk2011.1, 40
sk2011.2,41
summary.afex_aov (afex_aov-methods), 3
summary.merMod, 29
t.test, 16
{\tt update.merMod}, {\color{red} 6}
{\tt wilcox.test}, \underline{\it 16}
wilcox_test, 16
xtable, 35
```