

Vignette: Portfolio Optimization with CVaR budgets in PortfolioAnalytics

Kris Boudt, Peter Carl and Brian Peterson

June 1, 2010

Contents

1	General information	1
2	Setting of the objective function	4
2.1	Weight constraints	4
2.2	Minimum CVaR objective function	4
2.3	Minimum CVaR concentration objective function	6
2.4	Risk allocation constraints	7
3	Optimization	8
3.1	Minimum CVaR portfolio under an upper 40% CVaR allocation constraint	8
3.2	Minimum CVaR concentration portfolio	12
3.3	Dynamic optimization	14

1 General information

Risk budgets are a central tool to estimate and manage the portfolio risk allocation. They decompose total portfolio risk into the risk contribution of each position. Boudt et al. (2010) propose several portfolio allocation strategies that use an appropriate transformation of the portfolio Conditional Value at Risk (CVaR) budget as an objective or constraint in the portfolio optimization problem. This document explains how risk allocation optimized portfolios can be obtained under general constraints in the `PortfolioAnalytics` package of Boudt et al. (2012).

`PortfolioAnalytics` is designed to provide numerical solutions for portfolio problems with complex constraints and objective sets comprised of any R function. It can e.g. construct portfolios

that minimize a risk objective with (possibly non-linear) per-asset constraints on returns and drawdowns (Carl et al., 2010). The generality of possible constraints and objectives is a distinctive characteristic of the package with respect to RMetrics `fPortfolio` of Wuertz et al. (2010). For standard Markowitz optimization problems, use of `fPortfolio` rather than `PortfolioAnalytics` is recommended.

`PortfolioAnalytics` solves the following type of problem

$$\min_w g(w) \quad s.t. \quad \begin{cases} h_1(w) \leq 0 \\ \vdots \\ h_q(w) \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

`PortfolioAnalytics` first merges the objective function and constraints into a penalty augmented objective function

$$L(w) = g(w) + \text{penalty} \sum_{i=1}^q \lambda_i \max(h_i(w), 0), \quad (2)$$

where λ_i is a multiplier to tune the relative importance of the constraints. The default values of penalty and λ_i (called `multiplier` in `PortfolioAnalytics`) are 10000 and 1, respectively.

The minimum of this function is found through the *Differential Evolution* (DE) algorithm of Storn and Price (1997) and ported to R by Mullen et al. (2009). DE is known for remarkable performance regarding continuous numerical problems (Price et al., 2006). It has recently been advocated for optimizing portfolios under non-convex settings by Ardia et al. (2010) and Yollin (2009), among others. We use the R implementation of DE in the `DEoptim` package of Ardia and Mullen (2009).

The latest version of the `PortfolioAnalytics` package can be downloaded from R-forge through the following command:

```
install.packages("PortfolioAnalytics", repos="http://R-Forge.R-project.org")
```

Its principal functions are:

- `portfolio.spec(assets)`: the portfolio specification starts with creating a `portfolio` object with information about the assets. The first argument `assets` is either a number indicating the number of portfolio assets or a vector holding the names of the assets. The `portfolio` object is a list holding the constraints and objectives.
- `add.constraint(portfolio, type)`: Constraints are added to the `portfolio` object by the function `add.constraint`. Basic constraint types include leverage constraints that specify the sum of the weights have to be between `min_sum` and `max_sum` and box constraints where the asset weights have to be between `min` and `max`.

- `add.objective(portfolio, type, name)`: New objectives are added to the `portfolio` object with the function `add.objective`. Many common risk budget objectives and constraints are prespecified and can be identified by specifying the `type` and `name`.
- `constrained_objective(w, R, portfolio)`: given the portfolio weight and return data, it evaluates the penalty augmented objective function in (2).
- `optimize.portfolio(R, portfolio)`: this function returns the portfolio weight that solves the problem in (1). R is the multivariate return series of the portfolio components.
- `optimize.portfolio.rebalancing(R, portfolio, rebalance_on, trailing_periods)`: this function solves the multiperiod optimization problem. It returns for each rebalancing period the optimal weights and allows the estimation sample to be either from inception or a moving window.

Next we illustrate these functions on monthly return data for bond, US equity, international equity and commodity indices, which are the first 4 series in the dataset `indexes`. The first step is to load the package `PortfolioAnalytics` and the dataset. An important first note is that some of the functions (especially `optimize.portfolio.rebalancing`) requires the dataset to be a `xts` object (Ryan and Ulrich, 2010).

```
> library(PortfolioAnalytics)
> library(DEoptim)
> library(fGarch)
> library(robustbase)
> data(indexes)
> class(indexes)

[1] "xts" "zoo"

> indexes <- indexes[,1:4]
> head(indexes,2)

           US Bonds US Equities Int'l Equities Commodities
1980-01-31 -0.0272    0.0610      0.0462      0.0568
1980-02-29 -0.0669    0.0031     -0.0040     -0.0093

> tail(indexes,2)

           US Bonds US Equities Int'l Equities Commodities
2009-11-30  0.0134    0.0566      0.0199      0.0150
2009-12-31 -0.0175    0.0189      0.0143      0.0086
```

In what follows, we first illustrate the construction of the penalty augmented objective function. Then we present the code for solving the optimization problem.

2 Setting of the objective function

2.1 Weight constraints

```
> # Create the portfolio specification object
> Wcons <- portfolio.spec( assets = colnames(indexes) )
> # Add box constraints
> Wcons <- add.constraint( portfolio=Wcons, type='box', min = 0, max=1 )
> # Add the full investment constraint that specifies the weights must sum to 1.
> Wcons <- add.constraint( portfolio=Wcons, type="full_investment")
```

Given the weight constraints, we can call the value of the function to be minimized. We consider the case of no violation and a case of violation. By default, `normalize=TRUE` which means that if the sum of weights exceeds `max_sum`, the weight vector is normalized by multiplying it with `sum(weights)/max_sum` such that the weights evaluated in the objective function satisfy the `max_sum` constraint.

```
> constrained_objective( w = rep(1/4,4) , R = indexes, portfolio = Wcons)

[1] 0

> constrained_objective( w = rep(1/3,4) , R = indexes, portfolio = Wcons)

[1] 0

> constrained_objective( w = rep(1/3,4) , R = indexes, portfolio = Wcons,
+                         normalize=FALSE)

[1] 3333.333
```

The latter value can be recalculated as penalty times the weight violation, that is: $10000 \times 1/3$.

2.2 Minimum CVaR objective function

Suppose now we want to find the portfolio that minimizes the 95% portfolio CVaR subject to the weight constraints listed above.

```
> ObjSpec = add.objective( portfolio = Wcons , type="risk",name="CVaR",
+                          arguments=list(p=0.95), enabled=TRUE)
```

The value of the objective function is:

```
> constrained_objective( w = rep(1/4,4) , R = indexes, portfolio = ObjSpec)

[,1]
ES 0.1253199
```

This is the CVaR of the equal-weight portfolio as computed by the function ES in the PerformanceAnalytics package of Carl and Peterson (2009)

```
> library(PerformanceAnalytics)
> out<-ES(indexes, weights = rep(1/4,4),p=0.95,
+         portfolio_method="component")
> out$MES
```

```
[,1]
[1,] 0.1253199
```

All arguments in the function ES can be passed on through arguments. E.g. to reduce the impact of extremes on the portfolio results, it is recommended to winsorize the data using the option clean="boudt".

```
> out<-ES(indexes, weights = rep(1/4,4),p=0.95, clean="boudt",
+         portfolio_method="component")
> out$MES
```

```
[,1]
[1,] 0.07124999
```

For the formulation of the objective function, this implies setting:

```
> ObjSpec = add.objective( portfolio = Wcons , type="risk",name="CVaR",
+                          arguments=list(p=0.95,clean="boudt"), enabled=TRUE)
> constrained_objective( w = rep(1/4,4) , R = indexes[,1:4] , portfolio = ObjSpec)
```

```
[,1]
ES 0.07124999
```

An additional argument that is not available for the moment in ES is to estimate the conditional covariance matrix through the constant conditional correlation model of Bollerslev (1990).

For the formulation of the objective function, this implies setting:

```
> ObjSpec = add.objective( portfolio = Wcons , type="risk",name="CVaR",
+                          arguments=list(p=0.95, clean="boudt"),
+                          enabled=TRUE, garch=TRUE)
> constrained_objective( w = rep(1/4,4) , R = indexes[,1:4] , portfolio = ObjSpec)

      [,1]
ES 0.07638508
```

2.3 Minimum CVaR concentration objective function

Add the minimum 95% CVaR concentration objective to the objective function:

```
> ObjSpec = add.objective( portfolio = Wcons , type="risk_budget_objective",
+                          name="CVaR", arguments=list(p=0.95, clean="boudt"),
+                          min_concentration=TRUE, enabled=TRUE)
```

The value of the objective function is:

```
> constrained_objective( w = rep(1/4,4) , R = indexes,
+                          portfolio = ObjSpec, trace=TRUE)
```

\$out

```
[1] 8.023152
```

\$weights

```
[1] 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25
```

\$objective_measures

\$objective_measures\$CVaR

\$objective_measures\$CVaR\$MES

```
      [,1]
```

```
[1,] 0.07124999
```

\$objective_measures\$CVaR\$contribution

```
      US Bonds    US Equities Int'l Equities    Commodities
```

```
0.000593884    0.020748329    0.024636472    0.025271304
```

```
$objective_measures$CVaR$pct_contrib_MES
```

```
    US Bonds    US Equities Int'l Equities    Commodities
0.008335215    0.291204659    0.345775103    0.354685023
```

We can verify that this is effectively the largest CVaR contribution of that portfolio as follows:

```
> ES(indexes[,1:4],weights = rep(1/4,4),p=0.95,clean="boudt",
+     portfolio_method="component")
```

```
$MES
```

```
    [,1]
```

```
[1,] 0.07124999
```

```
$contribution
```

```
    US Bonds    US Equities Int'l Equities    Commodities
0.000593884    0.020748329    0.024636472    0.025271304
```

```
$pct_contrib_MES
```

```
    US Bonds    US Equities Int'l Equities    Commodities
0.008335215    0.291204659    0.345775103    0.354685023
```

2.4 Risk allocation constraints

We see that in the equal-weight portfolio, the international equities and commodities investment cause more than 30% of total risk. We could specify as a constraint that no asset can contribute more than 30% to total portfolio risk with the argument `max_prisk=0.3`. This involves the construction of the following objective function:

```
> ObjSpec = add.objective( portfolio = Wcons , type="risk_budget_objective",
+                          name="CVaR", max_prisk = 0.3,
+                          arguments=list(p=0.95,clean="boudt"), enabled=TRUE)
> constrained_objective( w = rep(1/4,4) , R = indexes, portfolio = ObjSpec)
```

```
[1] 1004.601
```

This value corresponds to the penalty parameter which has by default the value of 10000 times the exceedances: $10000 * (0.045775103 + 0.054685023) \approx 1004.601$.

3 Optimization

The penalty augmented objective function is minimized through Differential Evolution. Two parameters are crucial in tuning the optimization: `search_size` and `itermax`. The optimization routine

1. First creates the initial generation of $NP = \text{search_size}/\text{itermax}$ guesses for the optimal value of the parameter vector, using the `random_portfolios` function generating random weights satisfying the weight constraints.
2. Then DE evolves over this population of candidate solutions using alteration and selection operators in order to minimize the objective function. It restarts `itermax` times.

It is important that `search_size/itermax` is high enough. It is generally recommended that this ratio is at least ten times the length of the weight vector. For more details on the use of DE strategy in portfolio allocation, we refer the reader to Ardia et al. (2010).

3.1 Minimum CVaR portfolio under an upper 40% CVaR allocation constraint

The portfolio object and functions needed to obtain the minimum CVaR portfolio under an upper 40% CVaR allocation objective are the following:

```
> # Create the portfolio specification object
> ObjSpec <- portfolio.spec(assets=colnames(indexes[,1:4]))
> # Add box constraints
> ObjSpec <- add.constraint(portfolio=ObjSpec, type='box', min = 0, max=1)
> # Add the full investment constraint that specifies the weights must sum to 1.
> ObjSpec <- add.constraint(portfolio=ObjSpec, type="weight_sum",
+                           min_sum=0.99, max_sum=1.01)
> # Add objective to minimize CVaR
> ObjSpec <- add.objective(portfolio=ObjSpec, type="risk", name="CVaR",
+                           arguments=list(p=0.95, clean="boudt"))
> # Add objective for an upper 40% CVaR allocation
> ObjSpec <- add.objective(portfolio=ObjSpec, type="risk_budget_objective",
+                           name="CVaR", max_prisk=0.4,
+                           arguments=list(p=0.95, clean="boudt"))
```

After the call to these functions it starts to explore the feasible space iteratively and is shown in the output. Iterations are given as intermediate output and by default every iteration will be

printed. We set `traceDE=5` to print every 5 iterations and `itermax=50` for a maximum of 50 iterations.

```
> set.seed(1234)
> out <- optimize.portfolio(R=indexes, portfolio=ObjSpec,
+                           optimize_method="DEoptim", search_size=2000,
+                           traceDE=5, itermax=50, trace=TRUE)

Iteration: 5 bestvalit: 0.032600 bestmemit:    0.708000    0.110001    0.068786    0.117947
Iteration: 10 bestvalit: 0.029568 bestmemit:    0.765766    0.064000    0.116000    0.058000
Iteration: 15 bestvalit: 0.029012 bestmemit:    0.764000    0.060000    0.042000    0.130000
Iteration: 20 bestvalit: 0.029012 bestmemit:    0.764000    0.060000    0.042000    0.130000
[1] 0.764 0.060 0.042 0.130
```

```
> print(out)
```

```
*****
PortfolioAnalytics Optimization
*****
```

Call:

```
optimize.portfolio(R = indexes, portfolio = ObjSpec, optimize_method = "DEoptim",
  search_size = 2000, trace = TRUE, traceDE = 5, itermax = 50)
```

Optimal Weights:

US Bonds	US Equities	Int'l Equities	Commodities
0.764	0.060	0.042	0.130

Objective Measures:

```
CVaR
0.02901
```

contribution :

US Bonds	US Equities	Int'l Equities	Commodities
0.011232	0.003372	0.003029	0.011379

pct_contrib_MES :

US Bonds	US Equities	Int'l Equities	Commodities
0.3871	0.1162	0.1044	0.3922

If `trace=TRUE` in `optimize.portfolio`, additional output from the DEoptim solver is included in the `out` object created by `optimize.portfolio`. The additional elements in the output are `DEoptim_objective_results` and `DEoutput`. The `DEoutput` element contains output from the function `DEoptim`. The `DEoptim_objective_results` element contains the weights, value of the objective measures, and other data at each iteration.

```
> names(out)

[1] "weights"           "objective_measures"
[3] "opt_values"        "out"
[5] "call"              "DEoutput"
[7] "DEoptim_objective_results" "portfolio"
[9] "R"                  "data_summary"
[11] "elapsed_time"      "end_t"

> # View the DEoptim_objective_results information at the last iteration
> out$DEoptim_objective_results[[length(out$DEoptim_objective_results)]]

$out
[1] 0.02901206

$weights
      US Bonds  US Equities Int'l Equities  Commodities
      0.764    0.060    0.042    0.130

$init_weights
      US Bonds  US Equities Int'l Equities  Commodities
      0.764    0.060    0.042    0.130

$objective_measures
$objective_measures$CVaR
$objective_measures$CVaR$MES
      [,1]
[1,] 0.02901206
```

```
$Objective_measures$CVar$contribution
```

US Bonds	US Equities	Int'l Equities	Commodities
0.011231790	0.003371861	0.003029005	0.011379403

```
$Objective_measures$CVar$pct_contrib_MES
```

US Bonds	US Equities	Int'l Equities	Commodities
0.3871421	0.1162227	0.1044050	0.3922301

```
> # Extract stats from the out object into a matrix
```

```
> xtract <- extractStats(out)
```

```
> dim(xtract)
```

```
[1] 881 14
```

```
> head(xtract)
```

	CVaR	CVaR.contribution.US Bonds	CVaR.contribution.US Equities
.DE.portf.1	0.07124999	5.938840e-04	0.020748329
.DE.portf.2	0.08230408	6.548141e-04	0.025433650
.DE.portf.3	0.09711708	2.439581e-06	0.020130622
.DE.portf.4	0.09173925	0.000000e+00	0.059883966
.DE.portf.5	0.06167164	1.054049e-03	0.005369063
.DE.portf.6	0.08106117	-2.181591e-04	0.074610829

	CVaR.contribution.Int'l Equities	CVaR.contribution.Commodities
.DE.portf.1	0.024636472	0.025271304
.DE.portf.2	0.056009116	0.000206498
.DE.portf.3	0.060345891	0.016638127
.DE.portf.4	0.013359836	0.018495449
.DE.portf.5	0.016868721	0.038379809
.DE.portf.6	0.001217522	0.005450983

	CVaR.pct_contrib_MES.US Bonds	CVaR.pct_contrib_MES.US Equities
.DE.portf.1	0.008335215	0.29120466
.DE.portf.2	0.007956034	0.30902054
.DE.portf.3	0.000025120	0.20728199
.DE.portf.4	0.000000000	0.65276275
.DE.portf.5	0.017091306	0.08705886
.DE.portf.6	-0.002691290	0.92042620

CVaR.pct_contrib_MES.Int'l Equities			
.DE.portf.1		0.34577510	
.DE.portf.2		0.68051447	
.DE.portf.3		0.62137259	
.DE.portf.4		0.14562835	
.DE.portf.5		0.27352476	
.DE.portf.6		0.01501979	
CVaR.pct_contrib_MES.Commodities		out w.US Bonds	
.DE.portf.1	0.354685023	7.124999e-02	0.250
.DE.portf.2	0.002508964	2.805227e+03	0.226
.DE.portf.3	0.171320299	2.213823e+03	0.002
.DE.portf.4	0.201608898	2.527719e+03	0.000
.DE.portf.5	0.622325079	2.223312e+03	0.398
.DE.portf.6	0.067245297	5.204343e+03	0.118
w.US Equities	w.Int'l Equities	w.Commodities	
.DE.portf.1	0.250	0.250	0.250
.DE.portf.2	0.282	0.494	0.006
.DE.portf.3	0.228	0.568	0.204
.DE.portf.4	0.660	0.130	0.220
.DE.portf.5	0.072	0.188	0.344
.DE.portf.6	0.774	0.012	0.094

It can be seen from the charts that although US Bonds has a higher weight allocation, the percentage contribution to risk is the lowest of all four indexes.

```

> plot.new()
> chart.Weights(out)

> plot.new()
> chart.RiskBudget(out, risk.type="pct_contrib", col="blue", pch=18)

```

3.2 Minimum CVaR concentration portfolio

The functions needed to obtain the minimum CVaR concentration portfolio are the following:

```

> # Create the portfolio specification object
> ObjSpec <- portfolio.spec(assets=colnames(indexes))
> # Add box constraints

```

```

> ObjSpec <- add.constraint(portfolio=ObjSpec, type='box', min = 0, max=1)
> # Add the full investment constraint that specifies the weights must sum to 1.
> ObjSpec <- add.constraint(portfolio=ObjSpec, type="weight_sum",
+                           min_sum=0.99, max_sum=1.01)
> # Add objective for min CVaR concentration
> ObjSpec <- add.objective(portfolio=ObjSpec, type="risk_budget_objective",
+                           name="CVaR", arguments=list(p=0.95, clean="boudt"),
+                           min_concentration=TRUE)
> set.seed(1234)
> out <- optimize.portfolio(R=indexes, portfolio=ObjSpec,
+                           optimize_method="DEoptim", search_size=5000,
+                           itermax=50, traceDE=5, trace=TRUE)

Iteration: 5 bestvalit: 0.824831 bestmemit:    0.658000    0.114000    0.116000    0.102000
Iteration: 10 bestvalit: 0.404200 bestmemit:    0.703825    0.122000    0.068000    0.099248
Iteration: 15 bestvalit: 0.404200 bestmemit:    0.703825    0.122000    0.068000    0.099248
[1] 0.7038248 0.1220000 0.0680000 0.0992476

```

This portfolio has the near equal risk contribution characteristic:

```

> print(out)

*****
PortfolioAnalytics Optimization
*****

Call:
optimize.portfolio(R = indexes, portfolio = ObjSpec, optimize_method = "DEoptim",
  search_size = 5000, trace = TRUE, itermax = 50, traceDE = 5)

```

Optimal Weights:

US Bonds	US Equities	Int'l Equities	Commodities
0.7038	0.1220	0.0680	0.0992

Objective Measures:

```

  CVaR
0.03172

```

```

contribution :
      US Bonds    US Equities Int'l Equities    Commodities
      0.008321    0.008797    0.006211    0.008387

pct_contrib_MES :
      US Bonds    US Equities Int'l Equities    Commodities
      0.2624     0.2774     0.1958     0.2644

> # Verify results with ES function
> ES(indexes[,1:4], weights=out$weights, p=0.95, clean="boudt",
+     portfolio_method="component")

```

```

$MES
      [,1]
[1,] 0.03171538

```

```

$contribution
      US Bonds    US Equities Int'l Equities    Commodities
      0.008320674  0.008796673  0.006211431  0.008386599

$pct_contrib_MES
      US Bonds    US Equities Int'l Equities    Commodities
      0.2623546   0.2773630   0.1958492   0.2644332

```

The 95% CVaR percent contribution to risk is near equal for all four indexes. The neighbor portfolios can be plotted to view other near optimal portfolios. Alternatively, the contribution to risk in absolute terms can be plotted by setting `risk.type=absolute`.

```

> plot.new()
> chart.RiskBudget(out, neighbors=25, risk.type="pct_contrib",
+                 col="blue", pch=18)

```

3.3 Dynamic optimization

Dynamic rebalancing of the risk budget optimized portfolio is possible through the function `optimize.portfolio.rebalancing`. Additional arguments are `rebalance_on` which indicates

the rebalancing frequency (years, quarters, months). The estimation is either done from inception (`trailing_periods=0`) or through moving window estimation, where each window has `trailing_periods` observations. The minimum number of observations in the estimation sample is specified by `training_period`. Its default value is 36, which corresponds to three years for monthly data.

As an example, consider the minimum CVaR concentration portfolio, with estimation from inception and monthly rebalancing. Since we require a minimum estimation length of total number of observations -1, we can optimize the portfolio only for the last two months.

```
> set.seed(1234)
> out <- optimize.portfolio.rebalancing(R=indexes, portfolio=ObjSpec,
+                                     optimize_method="DEoptim", search_size=5000,
+                                     rebalance_on="quarters",
+                                     training_period=nrow(indexes)-12,
+                                     traceDE=0)

[1] 0.7340000 0.0940000 0.0620000 0.1091675
[1] 0.70307117 0.07979278 0.11226046 0.09800000
[1] 0.704 0.116 0.096 0.078
[1] 0.596 0.174 0.118 0.114
[1] 0.67800000 0.11192978 0.07729666 0.14109929
```

The output of `optimize.portfolio.rebalancing` in the `opt_rebalancing` slot is a list of objects created by `optimize.portfolio`, one for each rebalancing period.

```
> names(out)

[1] "portfolio"      "R"              "call"           "elapsed_time"
[5] "opt_rebalancing"

> names(out$opt_rebalancing[[1]])

[1] "weights"          "objective_measures" "opt_values"
[4] "out"              "call"              "portfolio"
[7] "data_summary"     "elapsed_time"      "end_t"

> out
```

PortfolioAnalytics Optimization with Rebalancing

Call:

```
optimize.portfolio.rebalancing(R = indexes, portfolio = ObjSpec,  
  optimize_method = "DEoptim", search_size = 5000, traceDE = 0,  
  rebalance_on = "quarters", training_period = nrow(indexes) -  
  12)
```

Number of rebalancing dates: 5

First rebalance date:

```
[1] "2008-12-31 CST"
```

Last rebalance date:

```
[1] "2009-12-31 CST"
```

Annualized Portfolio Rebalancing Return:

```
[1] 0.09609611
```

Annualized Portfolio Standard Deviation:

```
[1] 0.07149821
```

The `summary` method provides a brief output of the optimization result along with return and risk measures.

```
> opt.summary <- summary(out)
```

```
> names(opt.summary)
```

```
[1] "weights"          "objective_measures" "portfolio_returns"
```

```
[4] "annualized_returns" "annualized_StdDev" "downside_risk"
```

```
[7] "rebalance_dates"   "call"              "elapsed_time"
```

```
> opt.summary
```

PortfolioAnalytics Optimization with Rebalancing

Call:

```
optimize.portfolio.rebalancing(R = indexes, portfolio = ObjSpec,
```



```
optimize_method = "DEoptim", search_size = 5000, traceDE = 0,
rebalance_on = "quarters", training_period = nrow(indexes) -
12)
```

First rebalance date:

```
[1] "2008-12-31 CST"
```

Last rebalance date:

```
[1] "2009-12-31 CST"
```

Annualized Portfolio Rebalancing Return:

```
[1] 0.09609611
```

Annualized Portfolio Standard Deviation:

```
[1] 0.07149821
```

Downside Risk Measures:

	portfolio.returns
Semi Deviation	0.0159
Gain Deviation	0.0102
Loss Deviation	0.0152
Downside Deviation (MAR=10%)	0.0161
Downside Deviation (Rf=0%)	0.0123
Downside Deviation (0%)	0.0123
Maximum Drawdown	0.0586
Historical VaR (95%)	-0.0293
Historical ES (95%)	-0.0339
Modified VaR (95%)	-0.0290
Modified ES (95%)	-0.0354

The optimal weights for each rebalancing period can be extracted from the object with `extractWeights` and are charted with `chart.Weights`.

```
> extractWeights(out)
```

	US Bonds	US Equities	Int'l Equities	Commodities
2008-12-31	0.7340000	0.09400000	0.06200000	0.1091675

```

2009-03-31 0.7030712 0.07979278 0.11226046 0.0980000
2009-06-30 0.7040000 0.11600000 0.09600000 0.0780000
2009-09-30 0.5960000 0.17400000 0.11800000 0.1140000
2009-12-31 0.6780000 0.11192978 0.07729666 0.1410993

```

```

> plot.new()
> chart.Weights(out, colorset=bluemono)

```

Also, the value of the objective function at each rebalancing date is extracted with `extractObjectiveMeasures`.

```

> head(extractObjectiveMeasures(out))

```

```

                CVaR CVaR.contribution.US Bonds CVaR.contribution.US Equities
2008-12-31 0.02947069                0.009154654                0.005747805
2009-03-31 0.03284214                0.007781688                0.005910202
2009-06-30 0.03231607                0.008064424                0.008813221
2009-09-30 0.04089103                0.005224537                0.013949003
2009-12-31 0.03526946                0.007136042                0.008056853
                CVaR.contribution.Int'l Equities CVaR.contribution.Commodities
2008-12-31                0.004996783                0.009571444
2009-03-31                0.010690655                0.008459595
2009-06-30                0.009284490                0.006153936
2009-09-30                0.011880201                0.009837293
2009-12-31                0.007063604                0.013012960
                CVaR.pct_contrib_MES.US Bonds CVaR.pct_contrib_MES.US Equities
2008-12-31                0.3106359                0.1950346
2009-03-31                0.2369422                0.1799579
2009-06-30                0.2495484                0.2727195
2009-09-30                0.1277673                0.3411262
2009-12-31                0.2023292                0.2284371
                CVaR.pct_contrib_MES.Int'l Equities CVaR.pct_contrib_MES.Commodities
2008-12-31                0.1695510                0.3247785
2009-03-31                0.3255164                0.2575835
2009-06-30                0.2873026                0.1904296
2009-09-30                0.2905331                0.2405733
2009-12-31                0.2002754                0.3689583

```

The first and last observation from the estimation sample:

```
> out$opt_rebalancing[[1]]$data_summary
```

```
$first
      US Bonds US Equities Int'l Equities Commodities
1980-01-31 -0.0272      0.061      0.0462      0.0568
```

```
$last
      US Bonds US Equities Int'l Equities Commodities
2008-12-31  0.0313      0.0105      0.0568     -0.1537
```

```
> out$opt_rebalancing[[2]]$data_summary
```

```
$first
      US Bonds US Equities Int'l Equities Commodities
1980-01-31 -0.0272      0.061      0.0462      0.0568
```

```
$last
      US Bonds US Equities Int'l Equities Commodities
2009-03-31  0.0128      0.0805      0.06      0.0431
```

The component contribution to risk at each rebalance date can be charted with `chart.RiskBudget`. The component contribution to risk in absolute or percentage.

```
> plot.new()
> chart.RiskBudget(out, match.col="CVaR", risk.type="percentage", col=bluemono)

> plot.new()
> chart.RiskBudget(out, match.col="CVaR", risk.type="absolute", col=bluemono)
```

Of course, DE is a stochastic optimizer and typically will only find a near-optimal solution that depends on the seed. The function `optimize.portfolio.parallel` in `PortfolioAnalytics` allows to run an arbitrary number of portfolio sets in parallel in order to develop "confidence bands" around your solution. It is based on Revolution's `foreach` package (Computing, 2009).

References

D. Ardia and K. Mullen. *DEoptim: Differential Evolution Optimization in R*, 2009. URL <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=DEoptim>. R package version 2.00-04.

- D. Ardia, K. Boudt, P. Carl, K. Mullen, and B. Peterson. Differential evolution (deoptim) for non-convex portfolio optimization. *Mimeo*, 2010.
- T. Bollerslev. Modeling the coherence in short-run nominal exchange rates: A multivariate generalized ARCH model. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 72:498–505, 1990.
- K. Boudt, P. Carl, and B. G. Peterson. Portfolio optimization with conditional value-at-risk budgets, Jan. 2010.
- K. Boudt, P. Carl, and B. G. Peterson. PortfolioAnalytics: Portfolio analysis, including numeric methods for optimization of portfolios, 2012. URL <https://r-forge.r-project.org/projects/returnanalytics/>. R package version 0.8.2.
- P. Carl and B. G. Peterson. PerformanceAnalytics: Econometric tools for performance and risk analysis in R, 2009. URL <http://braverock.com/R/>. R package version 1.0.0.
- P. Carl, B. G. Peterson, and K. Boudt. Business objectives and complex portfolio optimization. Presentation at R/Finance 2010. Available at: http://www.rinfinance.com/agenda/2010/Carl+Peterson+Boudt_Tutorial.pdf, 2010.
- R. Computing. *foreach: Foreach looping construct for R*, 2009. URL <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=foreach>. R package version 1.3.0.
- K. M. Mullen, D. Ardia, D. L. Gil, D. Windover, and J. Cline. DEoptim: An R package for global optimization by differential evolution, Dec. 2009.
- K. V. Price, R. M. Storn, and J. A. Lampinen. *Differential Evolution: A Practical Approach to Global Optimization*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, second edition, Dec. 2006. ISBN 3540209506.
- J. A. Ryan and J. M. Ulrich. *xts: Extensible Time Series*, 2010. URL <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=xts>. R package version 0.7-0.
- R. Storn and K. Price. Differential evolution – a simple and efficient heuristic for global optimization over continuous spaces. *Journal of Global Optimization*, 11(4):341–359, 1997. ISSN 0925-5001.
- Wuertz, Diethelm, Chalabi, Yohan, Chen, William, Ellis, and Andrew. *Portfolio Optimization with R/Rmetrics*. Rmetrics Association & Finance Online, www.rmetrics.org, April 2010. R package version 2110.79.
- G. Yollin. R tools for portfolio optimization. In *Presentation at R/Finance conference 2009*, 2009.